

# Math 254: Introduction to Linear Algebra

## Notes #3.2 — Bases and Linear Independence

Peter Blomgren

`<blomgren@sdsu.edu>`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Dynamical Systems Group

Computational Sciences Research Center

San Diego State University

San Diego, CA 92182-7720

<http://terminus.sdsu.edu/>

Spring 2022

(Revised: January 18, 2022)



- 1 Student Learning Objectives
  - SLOs: Bases and Linear Independence
- 2 Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; Bases and Linear Independence
  - Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$
  - Bases and Linear Independence
- 3 Suggested Problems
  - Suggested Problems 3.2
  - Lecture–Book Roadmap
- 4 Supplemental Material
  - Metacognitive Reflection
  - Problem Statements 3.2

## SLOs 3.2

## Image &amp; Bases and Linear Independence

After this lecture you should:

- Know the definition of **SUBSPACES**; be comfortable with the concepts of the **IMAGE** and **KERNEL** of a linear transformation (and/or its associated matrix  $A$ ).
- Know how (i) the **SPAN**, (ii) **LINEAR INDEPENDENCE**, and (iii) the **BASIS OF A SUBSPACE** are inter-related.
- Be familiar with the Equivalent properties of **LINEARLY INDEPENDENT** Vectors.

### Equivalent Language [GS5-3.1-3.2]

- **IMAGE**: “Column Space (of a Matrix).”
- **KERNEL**: “Null Space (of a Matrix).”

## Subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$

In a previous episode of “*Adventures in Linear Algebra*” we encountered the *image* and *kernel* of a linear transform. It turns out both have three particular properties that fit into a more general classification:

### Definition (Subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

A subset  $W$  of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a (linear) **subspace** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if it has the following three properties:

- 1  $W$  contains the zero vector.
- 2  $W$  is closed under addition\*<sup>1</sup>.
- 3  $W$  is closed under scalar multiplication\*<sup>2</sup>.

---

\*<sup>1</sup> — if  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \in W$ , then  $\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 \in W$ ; and

\*<sup>2</sup> — if  $\vec{w} \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\alpha\vec{w} \in W$ .

Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 


## Theorem (Image and Kernel of a Linear Transform are Subspaces)

If  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$  is a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

- $\ker(T) = \ker(A)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and
- $\text{im}(T) = \text{im}(A)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The proof for the image,  $\text{im}(A)$ , is in [NOTES#3.1]; we left the (very similar) proof for  $\ker(A)$  as an exercise for a dark and stormy night.

However, recall the “cartoon” illustration



**Figure:**  $\ker(T)$  are the elements in the domain that are transformed to 0 in the output space; the rest of the domain “paints”  $\text{im}(T)$ . Notice that there may be element of the output space that are NOT part of  $\text{im}(T)$ .

[Figure: Copyright © 2019 Peter Blomgren]

Example: Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ Example (Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ )

There are infinitely many subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; they fall into one of three categories:

- $W_0 = \{\vec{0}\}$ .
- $W_1 = \{k\vec{v} : \forall k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , where  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$ .
- $W_2 = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

$W_0$  is quite straight-forward.

$W_1$  Once we have one non-zero vector  $\vec{v}$  we must add all scalings and additions of copies of  $\vec{v}$  to the space which gives the infinite line going through the origin parallel to  $\vec{v}$ .

$W_2$  If we have two non-parallel vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  we must include all scalings of the parallelogram described by  $\vec{0}-\vec{v}-\vec{w}-(\vec{v} + \vec{w})\dots$  which fills all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Example: Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ Example (Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ )

There are infinitely many subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ; they fall into one of four categories:

- $W_0 = \{\vec{0}\}$ .
- $W_1 = \{k\vec{v} : \forall k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , where  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  — LINES THROUGH  $\vec{0}$
- $W_2 = \{k\vec{v} + l\vec{w} : \forall k, l \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , where  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  are not parallel — PLANES THROUGH  $\vec{0}$ ,
- $W_3 = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Note that the planes of type  $W_2$  are not necessarily parallel to any (standard) coordinate axis.

**Figure:** In the game “labyrinth,” we tilt (part) of a plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to move a marble from start-to-finish.

License: CC BY-SA 2.0, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=95651>



## Describing a Plane in 3D...

Kernel version

Example (Kernel and Image of  $V$ )

Consider the plane  $V \in \mathbb{R}^3$  given by the equation  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0$ . Express  $V$  as the kernel of a matrix; and the image of (another) matrix.

- a. First we find a matrix  $A$  so that  $V = \ker(A)$ :
- We can write the equation as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

and clearly, we are looking for  $\ker(A)$ .

**[Useful Point of View]** If we are thinking about  $A\vec{x}$  in terms of **dot-product**( $\langle \text{ROW-OF-} A \rangle, \vec{x}$ ); we can interpret this situation as finding all  $\vec{x} \perp$  all rows of  $A$ .





## Describing a Plane in 3D...

Image version

Example (Kernel and Image of  $V$ )

Consider the plane  $V \in \mathbb{R}^3$  given by the equation  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0$ . Express  $V$  as the kernel of a matrix; and the image of (another) matrix.

b. Second, we find a matrix  $B$  so that  $V = \text{im}(B)$ :

- We need **two non-parallel vectors in the plane** in order to describe it: First, let  $x_3 = 0$ , giving  $x_1 = -2x_2$  as a possibility; then let  $x_2 = 0$ , giving  $x_1 = -3x_3$  as a possibility. Alternatively, we can parameterize in the usual way  $\{x_2 = s, x_3 = t\}$  and get the (same) two vectors as:

$$s \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $V$  consists of all linear combinations of these vectors,

$$V = \text{im} \left( \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \equiv \text{span} \left( \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

## How Many Column Vectors Do We Need to Describe the Image / Span?

Next, consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = [\vec{v}_1 \quad \vec{v}_2 \quad \vec{v}_3 \quad \vec{v}_4]$$

Since  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}$  its image “lives in” (is a subspace of)  $\mathbb{R}^3$  —  $\text{im}(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , and kernel  $\ker(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ .

We notice that  $\vec{v}_2 = 2\vec{v}_1$ , and  $\vec{v}_4 = \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_3$ ; that is the vectors  $\vec{v}_2$  and  $\vec{v}_4$  are “redundant” as far as describing the image is concerned (we can describe them using other columns in the matrix):

$$\text{im}([\vec{v}_1 \quad \vec{v}_2 \quad \vec{v}_3 \quad \vec{v}_4]) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_3)$$

If we have a vector  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} &= \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \alpha_3 \vec{v}_3 + \alpha_4 \vec{v}_4 \\ &= (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) \vec{v}_1 + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \vec{v}_3 \end{aligned}$$

## Linear Independence; Basis

## Key Concept!

## Definition (Linear Independence; Basis)

Consider non-zero vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- We say that a vector  $\vec{v}_i$  is *linearly dependent* if it is a linear combination of the preceding vectors,  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{i-1}$
- The vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are **linearly independent** if none of them can be written as a linear combination of the others.
- We say that the vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  in a subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  form a **basis** of  $V$  if they span  $V$  and are linearly independent.

Informally, a basis is a minimal description of a (sub)space.

## A Basis for the Image

In the context of the previously considered matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have established that

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

give us a (linearly independent) basis of  $V = \text{im}(A)$ .

## Constructing a Basis for the Image

## Theorem (Basis of the Image)

*To construct a basis of  $\text{im}(A)$ , list all the column vectors of  $A$ , and omit the linearly dependent vectors from the list.*



**Figure:** Hauling vectors, to build a basis?

Image License: CC BY-SA 2.0, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=724545>

## Linear Independence or Dependence?

Are the following vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^7$  linearly independent?

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since it is “very difficult” to write

- 1 as a linear combination of 0
- 7 as a linear combination of 0 and 0
- 5 as a linear combination of 0, 0, and 0

finding solutions to  $\vec{v}_2 = \alpha \vec{v}_1$ ;  $\vec{v}_3 = \beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{v}_2$ ; and  $\vec{v}_4 = \gamma_1 \vec{v}_1 + \gamma_2 \vec{v}_2 + \gamma_3 \vec{v}_3$ , may prove slightly problematic?

We can conclude that these four vectors are linearly independent.

## Quick-Check for Linear Independence

The previous example gives us a quick-check for linear independence:

## Theorem (Linear Independence and Zero Components)

Consider non-zero vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

If each of the vectors  $\vec{v}_i$  has a non-zero entry in a component where all the preceding vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{i-1}$  have a 0, then the vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are linearly independent.

Note that the theorem applies to **any ordering of the vectors**; that is, if it is possible to sort them so that the theorem applies, then the vectors are linearly independent.

## More Generally...

The previous theorem does not help for the vectors

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$



Nothing obvious pops out — clearly  $\vec{v}_2$  is not a scaling of  $\vec{v}_1$ ...  
Now, if  $\vec{v}_3$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$ , then converting the augmented matrix

$$M = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 4 & 7 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

into reduced-row-echelon-form,  $\text{rref}(M)$ , will reveal those combinations!



## More Generally...

$$M = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 4 & 7 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 0 \end{array} \right] \implies \text{rref}(M) = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

which means that  $x_3 = t$  (free variable),  $x_1 = x_3$ , and  $x_2 = -2x_3$ ; *i.e.* the vectors are NOT linearly independent;  $M\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  has infinitely many solutions of the form

$$\vec{x} = t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can write this as the expression (**linear relation**),

$$\vec{v}_1 - 2\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0},$$

where  $\vec{v}_1$ ,  $\vec{v}_2$  and  $\vec{v}_3$  are the columns of  $M$ .

## More Math Language

## Definition (Linear Relations)

Consider vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . An equation of the form

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$$

is called a (linear) relation among the vectors. There is always the *trivial* relation, with  $c_1 = \dots = c_m = 0$ . *Non-trivial relations* — where at least one  $c_k$  is non-zero — may or may not exist among the vectors.

## Theorem (Relations and Linear Dependence)

*The vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly dependent if and only if there are non-trivial relations among them.*



## Relations and Linear Dependence

## Proof :: Relations and Linear Dependence

[Fundamental Concept].

- Suppose vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are linearly dependent, and  $\vec{v}_i = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{i-1} \vec{v}_{i-1}$ . Then we can generate a nontrivial relation by

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{i-1} \vec{v}_{i-1} + (-1) \vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$$

- Conversely, if there is a non-trivial relation  $c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$ , where  $i$  is the highest index such that  $c_i \neq 0$ , then we can solve for  $\vec{v}_i$  and this express

$$\vec{v}_i = -\frac{c_1}{c_i} \vec{v}_1 - \dots - \frac{c_{i-1}}{c_i} \vec{v}_{i-1}$$

this shows that  $\vec{v}_i$  is a linear combination of the preceding vectors, and hence  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are linearly dependent.



## Example

## Example (Find the Kernel)

Suppose the column vectors of an  $(n \times m)$  matrix  $A$  are linearly independent. Find  $\ker(A)$ .

**Solution:** We are looking for

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{0} \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \cdots & \vec{v}_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0} \Leftrightarrow x_1\vec{v}_1 + \cdots + x_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$$

now, since the columns are linearly independent, the trivial solution is the only solution ( $x_1 = \cdots = x_m = 0$ ). Therefore  $\ker(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$ .

## Summarizing

## Theorem (Kernel and Relations)

The vectors in the kernel of an  $(n \times m)$  matrix  $A$  correspond to the linear relations among the column vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  of  $A$ : the equation

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{0} \quad \text{means that} \quad x_1\vec{v}_1 + \cdots + x_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}.$$

In particular, the column vectors of  $A$  are linearly independent *if and only if*  $\ker(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$ , or equivalently, *if and only if*  $\text{rank}(A) = m$ . This condition implies that  $m \leq n$ .

**We can find at most  $n$  linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .**

## Example (Revisited)

## Collecting All the Pieces in One Place

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have previously established that

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{v}_3 \text{ is redundant linearly dependent}} = - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}}_{\exists \text{ non-trivial linear relation}} = \vec{0}$$

Collecting in matrix-vector form:

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{non-trivial kernel}} \in \ker(\mathbf{A}).$$

## Linear Independence

## IMPORTANT SUMMARY

## Equivalent Properties: Linear Independence

For a list  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  of vectors, the following statements are *equivalent* [all TRUE, or all FALSE]:

- i. The vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are linearly independent
- ii. None of the vectors in the list can be written as a linear combination of preceding vectors.
- iii. None of the vectors can be written as a linear combination of the others.
- iv. There is only the trivial solution to  $c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$ , i.e.  $c_1 = \dots = c_m = 0$
- v.  $\ker \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_m \end{pmatrix} = \{\vec{0}\}$
- vi.  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_m \end{pmatrix} = m$

## Basis and Unique Representation

## Theorem (Basis and Unique Representation)

Consider the vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  in a subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The vectors form a basis *if and only if* every vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $V$  can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination

$$\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m$$

The coefficients  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  are called the **coordinates** of  $\vec{v}$  with respect to the basis  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ .



We will discuss **coordinates** in more details in [NOTES#3.4].



## Basis and Unique Representation

Proof: BASIS  $\Rightarrow$  UNIQUENESS

[Fundamental Concept].

Let  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  be a basis of  $V$ .

**Assume:** we have two representations of some  $\vec{v} \in V$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{v} &= c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + c_m \vec{v}_m \\ &= d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + d_m \vec{v}_m.\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting gives

$$\vec{0} = (\vec{v} - \vec{v}) = (c_1 - d_1)\vec{v}_1 + \cdots + (c_m - d_m)\vec{v}_m.$$

Since  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  form a basis, they are (by definition) linearly independent, so  $(c_k - d_k) = 0, \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ; which shows that the two representation must be the same.  $\square$

## Basis and Unique Representation

Proof: UNIQUENESS  $\Rightarrow$  BASIS

[Fundamental Concept].

Consider the subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  spanned by the vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ .

Given that the representation

$$\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + c_m \vec{v}_m$$

is unique; let  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ , this forces  $c_k = 0 \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , which shows that the vectors are linearly independent; so we have a basis. □

## Suggested Problems 3.2

**Available on Learning Glass videos:**

3.2 — 1, 3, 7, 11, 17, 25, 27, 32, 34

## Lecture – Book Roadmap

Lecture	Book, [GS5-]
3.1	§3.1, §3.2, §3.3
3.2	§3.1, §3.2, §3.3, §3.4
3.3	§3.1, §3.2, §3.3, §3.4, §3.5
3.4	

## Metacognitive Exercise — Thinking About Thinking &amp; Learning

I know / learned	Almost there	Huh?!?
Right After Lecture		
After Thinking / Office Hours / SI-session		
After Reviewing for Quiz/Midterm/Final		

(3.2.1), (3.2.3)

**(3.2.1)** Check whether or not the subset  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is subspace:

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} : x + y + z = 1 \right\}.$$

**(3.2.3)** Check whether or not the subset  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is subspace:

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x + 2y + 3z \\ 4x + 5y + 6z \\ 7x + 8y + 9z \end{bmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

(3.2.7), (3.2.11)

**(3.2.7)** Consider a nonempty subset  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is closed under addition and under scalar multiplication. Is  $\mathcal{W}$  necessarily a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ? Explain.

**(3.2.11)** Determine whether the given vectors are linearly independent:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(3.2.17), (3.2.25)

**(3.2.17)** Determine whether the given vectors are linearly independent:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**(3.2.25)** Find a linearly *dependent* (or “*redundant*”) column of the given matrix  $A$ , and write it as a linear combination of the preceding columns. Use this representation to write a non-trivial relation among the columns, and thus find a non-zero vector in the *kernel* of  $A$ :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



(3.2.27), (3.2.32)

**(3.2.27)** Find a basis for the *image* of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**(3.2.32)** Find a basis for the *image* of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(3.2.34)

**(3.2.34)** Consider the  $(5 \times 4)$  matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 & \vec{v}_4 \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix},$$

we are told that the vector

$$\vec{n}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

is in the *kernel* of  $A$ . Write  $\vec{v}_4$  as a linear combination of  $\vec{v}_1$ ,  $\vec{v}_2$ ,  $\vec{v}_3$ .

## Spring 2019 "Live Math" Debugged

A "2" went missing...

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 & \vec{v}_4 & \vec{v}_5 & \vec{v}_6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{5 \times 6}, \quad \text{rank}(A) = 3$$

$\vec{v}_2$ ,  $\vec{v}_4$ , and  $\vec{v}_5$  are **linearly independent**.  $\text{BASIS}(\text{im}(A)) = \{\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_4, \vec{v}_5\}$ .

$\vec{v}_1$ ,  $\vec{v}_3$ , and  $\vec{v}_6$  are **linearly dependent**: the zero-vector is always "dependent," and  $\vec{v}_3 = 2\vec{v}_2$ ,  $\vec{v}_6 = 4\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_4 + 2\vec{v}_5$ .

If we parameterize the free variables as usual  $(x_1, x_3, x_6) = (s, t, u)$ ; then  $A\vec{x} = 0$  has solutions:

$$s \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -4 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{BASIS}(\ker(A)) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -4 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$