	Outline
Numerical Analysis and Computing Lecture Notes #4 — Solutions of Equations in One Variable, Interpolation and Polynomial Approximation — Accelerating Convergence; Zeros of Polynomials; Deflation; Müller's Method; Lagrange Polynomials; Neville's Method	 Accelerating Convergence Review Aitken's Δ² Method Steffensen's Method Zeros of Polynomials Fundamentals Horner's Method
Peter Blomgren, <pre></pre>	 3 Deflation, Müller's Method Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots 4 Polynomial Approximation Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method
Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (1/59)	Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (2/59)
Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation Review Aitken's Δ^2 Method Steffensen's Method Introduction	Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation Review Aitken's △² Method Steffensen's Method Recall: Convergence of a Sequence Sequence
 "[] it is rare to have the luxury of quadratic convergence." (Burden-Faires, p.86^{9th}) There are a number of methods for squeezing faster convergence out of an already computed sequence of numbers. We here explore one method which seems the have been around since the beginning of numerical analysis Aitken's Δ² method. It can be used to accelerate convergence of a sequence that is linearly convergent, regardless of its origin or application. A review of extrapolation methods can be found in: "Practical Extrapolation Methods: Theory and Applications," Avram Sidi, Number 10 in Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Compu- 	Definition Suppose the sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to p , with $p_n \neq p$ for all n . If positive constants λ and α exists with $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{ p_{n+1} - p }{ p_n - p ^{\alpha}} = \lambda,$ then $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to p of order α , with asymptotic error constant λ .

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation

||/||

— (8/59)

Aitken's Δ^2 Method

1/11

We solve for p and get...

$$p \approx rac{p_{n+2}p_n - p_{n+1}^2}{p_{n+2} - 2p_{n+1} + p_n}.$$

A little bit of algebraic manipulation put this into the equivalent "classical" Aitken form:

$$\hat{p}_n = p = p_n - \frac{(p_{n+1} - p_n)^2}{p_{n+2} - 2p_{n+1} + p_n}.$$

Aitken's Δ^2 Method is based on the assumption that the \hat{p}_n we compute from p_{n+2} , p_{n+1} and p_n is a better approximation to the actual limit p.

The analysis needed to rigorously **prove** this is beyond the scope of this class, see *e.g.* Sidi's book.

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (5/59) Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (6/59) Accelerating Convergence Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Zeros of Polynomials Aitken's Δ^2 Method Aitken's Δ^2 Method Deflation. Müller's Method Deflation. Müller's Method Steffensen's Method Steffensen's Method **Polynomial Approximation** Polynomial Approximation Aitken's Δ^2 Method Aitken's Δ^2 Method The Recipe Example Consider the sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where the sequence is generated by the fixed point iteration $p_{n+1} = \cos(p_n)$, $p_0 = 0$. Given a sequence finite $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^N$ or infinite $\{q_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ sequence Iteration **p**_n pn which converges linearly to some limit. 0.685073357326045 0 0.0000000000000000 1.000000000000000 1 **0.7** 28010361467617 Define the new sequences 2 0.540302305868140 0.73 3665164585231 3 0.857553215846393 0.73 6906294340474 $\hat{p}_n = p_n - \frac{(p_{n+1} - p_n)^2}{p_{n+2} - 2p_{n+1} + p_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2,$ 0.654289790497779 0.73 8050421371664 4 0.7 93480358742566 0.73 8636096881655 0.73 8876582817136 6 0.7 01368773622757 0.7 63959682900654 7 0.73 8992243027034 or 8 0.7 22102425026708 0.7390 42511328159 $\hat{q}_n = q_n - \frac{(q_{n+1} - q_n)^2}{q_{n+2} - 2q_{n+1} + q_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \infty.$ 0.7 50417761763761 9 0.7390 65949599941 10 **0.73**1404042422510 0.7390 76383318956 11 0.7 44237354900557 0.73908 1177259563* 12 0.73 5604740436347 0.73908 3333909684*

Note: Bold digits are correct; \hat{p}_{11} needs p_{13} , and \hat{p}_{12} additionally needs p_{14} .

Aitken's Δ^2 Method

Assume $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a **linearly convergent sequence** with limit *p*. Further, assume we are far out into the tail of the sequence (n large), and the signs of the successive errors agree, *i.e.*

Review

Aitken's Δ^2 Method

Accelerating Convergence

Deflation, Müller's Method

Polynomial Approximation

Zeros of Polynomials

$$\operatorname{sign}(p_n-p) = \operatorname{sign}(p_{n+1}-p) = \operatorname{sign}(p_{n+2}-p) = \dots$$

so that

$$rac{p_{n+2}-p}{p_{n+1}-p}pproxrac{p_{n+1}-p}{p_n-p}pprox\lambda$$
 (the asymptotic limit).

This would indicate

 $(p_{n+1}-p)^2 \approx (p_{n+2}-p)(p_n-p),$ $p_{n+1}^2 - 2p_{n+1}\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^2 \approx p_{n+2}p_n - (p_{n+2} + p_n)\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^2.$

We solve for *p* and get...

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

Review Aitken's Δ^2 Method Steffensen's Method

Faster Convergence for "Aitken-Sequences"

Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com}

Theorem (Convergence of Aitken- Δ^2 -Sequences)

Suppose $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence that converges linearly to the limit p, and for n large enough we have $(p_n - p)(p_{n+1} - p) > 0$. Then the Aitken-accelerated sequence $\{\hat{p}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges fast to p in the sense that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\frac{\hat{p}_n-p}{p_n-p}\right]=0.$$

We can combine Aitken's method with fixed-point iteration in order to get a "fixed-point iteration on steroids." (or should that be Erythropoietin (EPO), or possibly Clenbuterol?!)

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

Accelerating Convergence Accelerating Convergence Review Review Zeros of Polynomials Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Deflation, Müller's Method Steffensen's Method Steffensen's Method **Polynomial Approximation** Polynomial Approximation Steffensen's Method: The Quadratic, g-g-A, Waltz! Quadratic Convergence Steffensen's Method: Potential Breakage Algorithm: Steffensen's Method If at some point $p_2 - 2p_1 + p_0 = 0$ (which appears in the denominator), 3* Input: Initial approximation p_0 ; tolerance *TOL*; maximum number of then we stop and select the current value of p_2 as our approximate iterations N_0 . answer. Output: Approximate solution p, or failure message. Set i = 11. Both Newton's and Steffensen's methods give quadratic convergence. In While $i < N_0$ do 3--6 2. Newton's method we compute one function value and one derivative in 3* Set $p_1 = g(p_0), p_2 = g(p_1),$ each iteration. In Steffensen's method we have two function evaluations $p = p_0 - (p_1 - p_0)^2 / (p_2 - 2p_1 + p_0)$ and a more complicated algebraic expression in each iteration, but no If $|p - p_0| < TOL$ then 4. **derivative**. It looks like we got something for (almost) nothing. output p 4a. **However**, in order the guarantee guadratic convergence for Steffensen's 4b. stop program method, the fixed point function g must be 3 times continuously 5. Set i = i + 1differentiable, e.g. $f \in C^3[a, b]$, (see theorem-2.15 in Burden-Faires^{9th}).

— (9/59)

$$5. \qquad \text{Set } p_0 = p$$

7. Output: "Failure after N_0 iterations."

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation

Steffensen's Method: Fixed-Point Iteration on Steroids

Suppose we have a (linearly converging) fixed point iteration:

$$p_0, \quad p_1 = g(p_0), \quad p_2 = g(p_1), \quad \dots$$

Once we have p_0 , p_1 and p_2 , we can compute

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

$$\hat{p}_0 = p_0 - rac{(p_1 - p_0)^2}{p_2 - 2p_1 + p_0}$$

At this point we "restart" the fixed point iteration with $p_0 = \hat{p}_0$, e.g.

$$p_3 = \hat{p}_0, \quad p_4 = g(p_3), \quad p_5 = g(p_4),$$

and compute

$$\hat{p}_3 = p_3 - rac{(p_4 - p_3)^2}{p_5 - 2p_4 + p_3}$$

Newton's method "only" requires $f \in C^2[a, b]$ (BF^{9th} theorem-2.6).

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

— (10/59)

(12/59)



Zeros of Polynomials Fundamentals Deflation, Müller's Method Horner's Method Polynomial Approximation Horner's Method	Zeros of PolynomialsFundamentalsDeflation, Müller's MethodHorner's MethodPolynomial Approximation
Zeros of Polynomials	Fundamentals
Definition: Degree of a Polynomial A polynomial of degree <i>n</i> has the form $P(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, a_n \neq 0$ where the <i>a_i</i> 's are constants (either real, or complex) called the coefficients of <i>P</i> .	Theorem (The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra) If $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n \ge 1$ with real or complex coefficients, then $P(x) = 0$ has at least one (possibly complex) root.
Why look at polynomials? — We'll be looking at the problem $P(x) = 0$ (<i>i.e.</i> $f(x) = 0$ for a special class of functions.) Polynomials are the basis for many approximation methods, hence being able to solve polynomial equations fast is valuable. We'd like to use Newton's method, so we need to compute $P(x)$ and $P'(x)$ as efficiently as possible.	The proof is surprisingly(?) difficult and requires understanding of complex analysis We leave it as an exercise for the motivated student!
Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (17/59)	Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (18/59)
Accelerating Convergence Fundamentals Zeros of Polynomials Fundamentals Deflation, Müller's Method Horner's Method Polynomial Approximation Horner's Method	Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation
Key Consequences of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra 1 of 2	Key Consequences of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra2 of 2
Corollary If $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n \ge 1$ with real or complex coefficients then there exists unique constants x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k (possibly complex) and unique positive integers m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k such that $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = n$ and $P(x) = a_n(x - x_1)^{m_1}(x - x_2)^{m_2} \cdots (x - x_k)^{m_k}$ — The collection of zeros is unique. — m_i are the multiplicities of the individual zeros. — A polynomial of degree n has exactly n zeros, counting multiplicity.	 Corollary Let P(x) and Q(x) be polynomials of degree at most n. If x₁, x₂,, x_k, with k > n are distinct numbers with P(x_i) = Q(x_i) for i = 1, 2,, k, then P(x) = Q(x) for all values of x. If two polynomials of degree n agree at at least (n+1) points, then they must be the same.

Accelerating Convergence Fundamentals Zeros of Polynomials Fundamentals Deflation, Müller's Method Horner's Method Polynomial Approximation Fundamentals	Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation
Horner's Method: Evaluating Polynomials Quickly 1 of 3	Horner's Method: Evaluating Polynomials Quickly 2 of 3
Let $P(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0.$	Huh?!? Where did the expression come from? — Consider
If we are looking to evaluate $P(x_0)$ for any x_0 , let $b_n = a_n$, $b_k = a_k + b_{k+1}x_0$, $k = (n-1), (n-2), \dots, 1, 0$, then $b_0 = P(x_0)$. We have only used <i>n</i> multiplications and <i>n</i> additions. At the same time we have computed the decomposition $P(x) = (x - x_0)Q(x) + b_0$,	$P(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ = $(a_n x^{n-1} + a_{n-1} x^{n-2} + \dots + a_1) x + a_0$ = $((a_n x^{n-2} + a_{n-1} x^{n-3} + \dots) x + a_1) x + a_0$ = $\underbrace{(\dots ((a_n x + a_{n-1}) x + \dots) x + a_1) x + a_0}_{b_{n-1}}$
where $Q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{k+1} x^k.$	Horner's method (first published by Theophilus Holdred(!) in 1820) is "simply" the computation of this parenthesized expression from the inside-out
Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (21/59)	Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (22/59)
Accelerating Convergence Fundamentals Zeros of Polynomials Fundamentals Deflation, Müller's Method Horner's Method Polynomial Approximation Fundamentals	Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation
Horner's Method: Evaluating Polynomials Quickly 3 of 3	Example#1: Horner's Method
Now, if we need to compute $P'(x_0)$ we have $P'(x)\Big _{x=x_0} = (x - x_0)Q'(x) + Q(x)\Big _{x=x_0} = Q(x_0)$ Which we can compute (again using Horner's method) in $(n - 1)$ multiplications and $(n - 1)$ additions. Proof? We really ought to prove that Horner's method works. It basically boils down to lots of algebra which shows that the coefficients of $P(x)$ and $(x - x_0)Q(x) + b_0$ are the same A couple of examples may be more instructive	For $P(x) = x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + x - 1$, compute $P(5)$: $x_0 = 5$ $\begin{vmatrix} a_4 = 1 & a_3 = -1 & a_2 = 1 & a_1 = 1 & a_0 = -1 \\ b_4 x_0 = 5 & b_3 x_0 = 20 & b_2 x_0 = 105 & b_1 x_0 = 530 \\ \hline b_4 = 1 & b_3 = 4 & b_2 = 21 & b_1 = 106 & b_0 = 529 \\ \end{vmatrix}$ Hence, $P(5) = 529$, and $P(x) = (x - 5)(x^3 + 4x^2 + 21x + 106) + 529$ Similarly we get $P'(5) = Q(5) = 436$ $x_0 = 5 a_3 = 1 a_2 = 4 a_1 = 21 a_0 = 106 \\ b_3 x_0 = 5 b_2 x_0 = 45 b_1 x_0 = 330 \\ \hline b_3 = 1 b_2 = 9 b_1 = 66 b_0 = 436 \\ \end{vmatrix}$

Accelerating Convergence Fundamentals Zeros of Polynomials Fundamentals Deflation, Müller's Method Horner's Method Polynomial Approximation Fundamentals	Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation		
Algorithm: Horner's Method	Deflation — Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial		
Algorithm: Horner's Method Input: Degree n; coefficients $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n; x_0$ Output: $y = P(x_0), z = P'(x_0).$ 1. Set $y = a_n, z = a_n$ 2. For $j = (n-1), (n-2), \ldots, 1$ Set $y = x_0y + a_j, z = x_0z + y$ 3. Set $y = x_0y + a_0$ 4. Output (y, z) 5. End program	If we are solving our current favorite problem P(x) = 0, $P(x)$ a polynomial of degree n , and we are using Horner's method of computing $P(x_i)$ and $P'(x_i)$, then after N iterations, x_N is an approximation to one of the roots of $P(x) = 0$. We have $P(x) = (x - x_N)Q(x) + b_0$, $b_0 \approx 0$. At this point, let $\hat{r}_1 = x_N$ be the first root, and $Q_1(x) = Q(x)$. We can now find a second root by applying Newton's method to $Q_1(x)$		
Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (25/59)	Peter Blomgren, <pre></pre>		
Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation	Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation		
Deflation — Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial	Quality of Deflation		
After some number of iterations of Newton's method we have $Q_1(x) = (x - \hat{r}_2)Q_2(x) + b_0^{(2)}, b_0^{(2)} \approx 0$ If $P(x)$ is an n^{th} -degree polynomial with n real roots, we can apply this procedure $(n - 2)$ times to find $(n - 2)$ approximate zeros of $P(x)$: $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \ldots, \hat{r}_{n-2}$, and a quadratic factor $Q_{n-2}(x)$.	Now, the big question is "are the approximate roots $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \ldots, \hat{r}_n$ good approximations of the roots of $P(x)$???" Unfortunately, sometimes, no. In each step we solve the equation to some tolerance, <i>i.e.</i> $ b_0^{(k)} < tol$		
At this point we can solve $Q_{n-2}(x) = 0$ using the quadratic formula, and we have <i>n</i> roots of $P(x) = 0$.	Even though we may solve to a tight tolerance (10^{-8}) , the errors accumulate and the inaccuracies increase iteration-by-iteration		

This procedure is called **Deflation**.

Question: Is deflation therefore useless???

Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots

Improving the Accuracy of Deflation

The problem with deflation is that the zeros of $Q_k(x)$ are not good representatives of the zeros of P(x), especially for high k's.

As k increases, the quality of the root \hat{r}_k decreases.

Maybe there is a way to get all the zeros with the same quality?

The idea is quite simple... in each step of deflation, instead of just accepting \hat{r}_k as a root of P(x), we re-run Newton's method on the **full polynomial** P(x), with \hat{r}_k as the starting point — a couple of Newton iterations should quickly converge to the root of the full polynomial.

Improved Deflation — Algorithm Outline

Algorithm Outline: Improved Deflation

1. Apply Newton's method to $P(x) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1, \mathbf{Q}_1(\mathbf{x})$.

Accelerating Convergence

Deflation, Müller's Method

Polynomial Approximation

Zeros of Polynomials

- 2. For $k = 2, 3, \ldots, (n-2)$ do 3--4
- 3. Apply Newton's method to $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{k}-1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}}^*, \ \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{x})$.
- 4. Apply Newton's method to P(x) with \hat{r}_k^* as the initial point $\rightarrow~\hat{r}_k$

Apply Horner's method to $\mathbf{Q}_{k-1}(x)$ with $x = \hat{\mathbf{r}}_k \quad o \ \mathbf{Q}_k(x)$

Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial

Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots

5. Use the quadratic formula on $\boldsymbol{Q}_{n-2}(\boldsymbol{x})$ to get the two remaining roots.

Note: "Inside" Newton's method, the evaluations of polynomials and their derivatives are also performed using Horner's method.



Deflation & Improvement

$P_{12}^{W}(x)$ and $P_{13}^{W}(x)$

— (35/59)

Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial

Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots



Müller's Method

Müller's method is an extension of the Secant method...

Recall that the secant method uses two points x_k and x_{k-1} and the function values in those two points $f(x_k)$ and $f(x_{k-1})$. The zero-crossing of the linear interpolant (the secant line) is used as the next iterate x_{k+1} .

Müller's method takes the next logical step: it uses **three points**: x_k , x_{k-1} and x_{k-2} , the function values in those points $f(x_k)$, $f(x_{k-1})$ and $f(x_{k-2})$; a second degree polynomial fitting these three points is found, and its zero-crossing is the next iterate x_{k+1} .

Next slide: $f(x) = x^4 - 3x^3 - 1$, $x_{k-2} = 1.5$, $x_{k-1} = 2.5$, $x_k = 3.5$.

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation

Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots

Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial

— (34/59)

(36/59)

What About Complex Roots???

One interesting / annoying feature of polynomials with real coefficients is that they may have complex roots, *e.g.* $P(x) = x^2 + 1$ has the roots $\{-i, i\}$. Where by definition $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

If the initial approximation given to Newton's method is real, all the successive iterates will be real... which means we will not find complex roots.

One way to overcome this is to start with a complex initial approximation and do all the computations in complex arithmetic.

Another solution is Müller's Method...

Accelerating Convergence

Deflation, Müller's Method

Polynomial Approximation

Zeros of Polynomials

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)



Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable -(37/59)

Müller's Method — Fitting the Quadratic Polynomial

We consider the quadratic polynomial

$$m(x) = a(x - x_k)^2 + b(x - x_k) + c$$

at the three fitting points we get

$$f(x_{k-2}) = a(x_{k-2} - x_k)^2 + b(x_{k-2} - x_k) + c$$

$$f(x_{k-1}) = a(x_{k-1} - x_k)^2 + b(x_{k-1} - x_k) + c$$

$$f(x_k) = c$$

We can solve for a, b, and c:

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

$$a = \frac{(x_{k-1} - x_k)(f(x_{k-2}) - f(x_k)) - (x_{k-2} - x_k)(f(x_{k-1}) - f(x_k))}{(x_{k-2} - x_k)(x_{k-1} - x_k)(x_{k-2} - x_{k-1})}$$

$$b = \frac{(x_{k-2} - x_k)^2(f(x_{k-1}) - f(x_k)) - (x_{k-1} - x_k)^2(f(x_{k-2}) - f(x_k))}{(x_{k-2} - x_k)(x_{k-1} - x_k)(x_{k-2} - x_{k-1})}$$

$$c = f(x_k)$$

Accelerating Convergence Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial Zeros of Polynomials Deflation: Finding All the Zeros of a Polynomial Deflation, Müller's Method Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots Deflation, Müller's Method Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots **Polynomial Approximation Polynomial Approximation** Now We Know "Everything" About Solving f(x) = 0 !? Müller's Method — Algorithm Algorithm: Müller's Method Let's recap... Things to remember... Input: x_0, x_1, x_2 ; tolerance tol; max iterations N_0 Output: Approximate solution p, or failure message. The relation between **root finding** (f(x) = 0) and **fixed point** 1. Set $h_1 = (x_1 - x_0)$, $h_2 = (x_2 - x_1)$, $\delta_1 = [f(x_1) - f(x_0)]/h_1$, (g(x) = x). $\delta_2 = [f(x_2) - f(x_1)]/h_2, d = (\delta_2 - \delta_1)/(h_2 + h_1), j = 3.$ Key algorithms for root finding: Bisection, Secant Method, and 2. While $i < N_0$ do 3--7 **Newton's Method**. — Know what they are (the updates), how to start $b = \delta_2 + h_2 d$, $D = \sqrt{b^2 - 4f(x_2)d}$ complex? (one or two points? bracketing or not bracketing the root?), can the 3. method break, can breakage be fixed? Convergence properties. If |b - D| < |b + D| then set E = b + D else set E = b - D4. Set $h = -2f(x_2)/E$, $p = x_2 + h$ Also, know the mechanics of the Regula Falsi method, and understand 5. why it can run into trouble. If |h| < tol then output p; stop program 6. Set $x_0 = x_1$, $x_1 = x_2$, $x_2 = p$, $h_1 = (x_1 - x_0)$, 7. Fixed point iteration: Under what conditions do FP-iteration converge for all starting values in the interval?

— (39/59)

 $h_2 = (x_2 - x_1), \ \delta_1 = [f(x_1) - f(x_0)]/h_1, \ \delta_2 = [f(x_2) - f(x_1)]/h_2,$ $d = (\delta_2 - \delta_1)/(h_2 + h_1), \ i = i + 1$

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

8. output — "Müller's Method failed after N_0 iterations."

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation

Müller's Method — Finding Complex Roots

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

— (38/59)

— **(40/59**)

Müller's Method — Identifying the Zero

We now have a quadratic equation for $(x - x_k)$ which gives us two possibilities for x_{k+1} :

$$x_{k+1} - x_k = \frac{-2c}{b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}$$

In Müller's method we select

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{2c}{b + \operatorname{sign}(b)\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}$$

we are maximizing the (absolute) size of the denominator, hence we select the root closest to x_k .

Note that if $b^2 - 4ac < 0$ then we automatically get complex roots.

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation	Accelerating Convergence Fundamentals Zeros of Polynomials Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Polynomial Approximation Neville's Method
Recap, continued	New Favorite Problem:
Basic error analysis: order α , asymptotic error constant λ . — Which one has the most impact on convergence? Convergence rate for general fixed point iterations? Multiplicity of zeros: What does it mean? How do we use this knowledge to "help" Newton's method when we're looking for a zero of high multiplicity?	Interpolation and Polynomial Approximation
Convergence acceleration: Aitken's Δ^2 -method. Steffensen's Method.	
Zeros of polynomials: Horner's method, Deflation (with improvement), Müller's method.	
Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable (41/59)	Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) #4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (42/59)
Accelerating Convergence Zeros of PolynomialsFundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method	Accelerating Convergence Fundamentals Zeros of Polynomials Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Polynomial Approximation Neville's Method
Weierstrass Approximation Theorem	Illustrated: Weierstrass Approximation Theorem
	2.5

Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method

Candidates: the Taylor Polynomials???

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation

Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method

Taylor Approximation of e^{x} on the Interval [0, 3]

e^x

 $- P_0(x)$

- - P 2(x)

 $-\cdot P_3(x)$

 $-\cdot P_4(x)$ $-\cdot\cdot P_5(x)$

0.5

20

15

10

5

0



Are our old friends, the Taylor Polynomials, good candidates for polynomial interpolation?

Answer:

No. The Taylor expansion works very hard to be accurate in the neighborhood of *one point*. But we want to fit data at many points (in an extended interval).

[Next slide: The approximation is great near the expansion point $x_0 = 0$, but get progressively worse at we get further away from the point, even for the higher degree approximations.]

Peter Blomgren, <code>{blomgren.peter@gmail.com}</code>	#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (45/59)	Peter Blomgren, <code>(blomgren.peter@gmail.com)</code>	#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable — (46/59)
Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation	Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method	Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation	Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method
Lookahead: Polynomial Approximatic	on	Interpolation: Lagrange Polynomials	
Clearly Taylor polynomials are no	t well suited for approximating a	Idea: Instead of working hard	at one point, we will prescribe

function over an **extended** interval.

We are going to look at the following:

- Lagrange polynomials Neville's Method. [This Lecture]
- Newton's divided differences.
- Hermite interpolation.
- Cubic splines Piecewise polynomial approximation.
- (Parametric curves)
- (Bézier curves)

As warm-up we will define a function that passes through the points $(x_0, f(x_0))$ and $(x_1, f(x_1))$. First, lets define

2.5

$$L_0(x) = \frac{x - x_1}{x_0 - x_1}, \quad L_1(x) = \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0},$$

a number of points through which the polynomial must pass.

1.5

and then define the interpolating polynomial

$$P(x) = L_0(x)f(x_0) + L_1(x)f(x_1),$$

then $P(x_0) = f(x_0)$, and $P(x_1) = f(x_1)$.

- P(x) is the unique linear polynomial passing through $(x_0, f(x_0))$ and $(x_1, f(x_1))$.

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation Sector 2012 Polynomial Approximation

An *n*-degree polynomial passing through n + 1 points

We are going to construct a polynomial passing through the points $(x_0, f(x_0)), (x_1, f(x_1)), (x_2, f(x_2)), \dots, (x_N, f(x_n)).$ We define $L_{n,k}(x)$, the Lagrange coefficients:

$$\mathsf{L}_{n,k}(\mathsf{x}) = \prod_{i=0, i \neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathsf{x} - \mathsf{x}_{i}}{\mathsf{x}_{k} - \mathsf{x}_{i}} = \frac{x - x_{0}}{x_{k} - x_{0}} \cdots \frac{x - x_{k-1}}{x_{k} - x_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{x - x_{k+1}}{x_{k} - x_{k+1}} \cdots \frac{x - x_{n}}{x_{k} - x_{n}}$$

which have the properties

$$L_{n,k}(x_k) = 1; \quad L_{n,k}(x_i) = 0, \ \forall i \neq k.$$

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation

Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method

Example of $L_{n,k}(x)$



Peter Blomgren, <pre><code>{blomgren.peter@gmail.com</code></pre>	#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable	— (49/59)	Peter Blomgren, $\langle \texttt{blomgren.peter@gmail.com} \rangle$	#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable	— (50/59)
Accelerating Convergence	Fundamentals		Accelerating Convergence	Fundamentals	
Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation	Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method		Zeros of Polynomials Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation	Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method	
The <i>n</i> th Lagrange Interpolating Polynomial		Error bound for the Lagrange interpo	plating polynomial		

We use $L_{n,k}(x)$, k = 0, ..., n as building blocks for the Lagrange interpolating polynomial:

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(x_k) L_{n,k}(x),$$

which has the property

$$P(x_i) = f(x_i), \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, n$$

This is the unique polynomial passing through the points $(x_i, f(x_i)), i = 0, ..., n$.

Suppose x_i , i = 0, ..., n are distinct numbers in the interval [a, b], and $f \in C^{n+1}[a, b]$. Then $\forall x \in [a, b] \exists \xi(x) \in (a, b)$ so that:

$$f(x) = P_{Lagrange}(x) + rac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{n} (x - x_i),$$

where $P_{Lagrange}(x)$ is the nth Lagrange interpolating polynomial. Compare with the error formula for Taylor polynomials

$$f(x) = P_{\text{Taylor}}(x) + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))}{(n+1)!}(x-x_0)^{n+1},$$

Problem: Applying the error term may be difficult...

Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method

The Lagrange and Taylor Error Terms

Just to get a feeling for the non-constant part of the error terms in the Lagrange and Taylor approximations, we plot those parts on the interval [0, 4] with interpolation points $x_i = i, i = 0, 1, ..., 4$:



Let f be defined at $x_0, x_1, ..., x_k$, and x_i and x_j be two distinct points in this set, then

$$P(x) = \frac{(x - x_j)P_{0,\dots,j-1,j+1,\dots,k}(x) - (x - x_i)P_{0,\dots,i-1,i+1,\dots,k}(x)}{x_i - x_i}$$

is the k^{th} Lagrange polynomial that interpolates f at the k + 1 points x_0, \ldots, x_k .

Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials

Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials

Neville's Method

— (54/59)

Practical Problems

Applying (estimating) the error term is difficult.

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

Accelerating Convergence

Deflation, Müller's Method

Polynomial Approximation

Zeros of Polynomials

The degree of the polynomial needed for some desired accuracy is not known until after cumbersome calculations — checking the error term.

If we want to increase the degree of the polynomial (to e.g. n+1) the previous calculations are not of any help...

Building block for a fix: Let f be a function defined at x_0, \ldots, x_n , and suppose that m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k are k (< n) distinct integers, with $0 \le m_i \le n \ \forall i$. The Lagrange polynomial that agrees with f(x) the k points $x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \ldots, x_{m_k}$, is denoted $P_{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_k}(x)$. **Note:** $\{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k\} \subset \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$.

P_0				
P_1	$P_{0,1}$			
P_2	$P_{1,2}$	$P_{0,1,2}$		
P_3	$P_{2,3}$	$P_{1,2,3}$	$P_{0,1,2,3}$	
P_4	$P_{3,4}$	$P_{2,3,4}$	$P_{1,2,3,4}$	$P_{0,1,2,3,4}$
	$ \begin{array}{c} P_0\\ P_1\\ P_2\\ P_3\\ P_4 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{ccc} P_0 & & \\ P_1 & P_{0,1} & \\ P_2 & P_{1,2} & \\ P_3 & P_{2,3} & \\ P_4 & P_{3,4} & \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} P_0 & & & \\ P_1 & P_{0,1} & & \\ P_2 & P_{1,2} & P_{0,1,2} \\ P_3 & P_{2,3} & P_{1,2,3} \\ P_4 & P_{3,4} & P_{2,3,4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccc} P_0 & & & & \\ P_1 & P_{0,1} & & & \\ P_2 & P_{1,2} & P_{0,1,2} & & \\ P_3 & P_{2,3} & P_{1,2,3} & P_{0,1,2,3} & \\ P_4 & P_{3,4} & P_{2,3,4} & P_{1,2,3,4} & \end{array}$

Recursive Generation of Higher Degree Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials

Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

http://webwork.sdsu.edu

Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials

Neville's Method

— (57/59)

Neville's Method

Homework #3

The notation in the previous table gets cumbersome... We introduce the notation $Q_{\text{Last,Degree}} = P_{\text{Last-Degree},...,\text{Last}}$, the table becomes:

<i>x</i> 0	$Q_{0,0}$				
x_1	$Q_{1,0}$	$Q_{1,1}$			
<i>x</i> ₂	Q _{2,0}	$Q_{2,1}$	$Q_{2,2}$		
<i>x</i> 3	Q _{3,0}	$Q_{3,1}$	$Q_{3,2}$	Q _{3,3}	
<i>x</i> ₄	<i>Q</i> _{4,0}	$Q_{4,1}$	Q _{4,2}	Q _{4,3}	$Q_{4,4}$

Compare with the old notation:

Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com}

	-				
<i>x</i> ₀	P_0				
<i>x</i> ₁	P_1	$P_{0,1}$			
<i>x</i> ₂	P_2	$P_{1,2}$	$P_{0,1,2}$		
<i>x</i> 3	P_3	$P_{2,3}$	$P_{1,2,3}$	$P_{0,1,2,3}$	
<i>x</i> ₄	P_4	$P_{3,4}$	$P_{2,3,4}$	$P_{1,2,3,4}$	$P_{0,1,2,3,4}$

Fundamentals Moving Beyond Taylor Polynomials Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials Neville's Method

#4: Solutions of Equations in One Variable

- (58/59)

Algorithm: Neville's Method — Iterated Interpolation

Algorithm: Neville's Method

Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com}

To evaluate the polynomial that interpolates the $n + 1$ points $(x_i, f(x_i)), i = 0,, n$ at the point x :	
1. Initialize $Q_{i,0} = f(x_i)$. 2.	
FOR $i = 1 : n$ FOR $j = 1 : i$ $Q_{i,j} = \frac{(x - x_{i-j})Q_{i,j-1} - (x - x_i)Q_{i-1,j-1}}{x_i - x_{i-j}}$ END END	
3. Output the <i>Q</i> -table.	

• Will open on 09/12/2014 at 09:30am PDT.

Accelerating Convergence Zeros of Polynomials

Deflation, Müller's Method Polynomial Approximation

• Will close no earlier than 09/24/2014 at 09:00pm PDT.