

# Numerical Solutions to Differential Equations

## Lecture Notes #16 — Hybrid Methods

Peter Blomgren,  
(blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Dynamical Systems Group  
Computational Sciences Research Center  
San Diego State University  
San Diego, CA 92182-7720  
<http://terminus.sdsu.edu/>

Spring 2015

# Outline

## 1 Hybrid Methods

- Introduction
- Byrne-and-Lambert's Pseudo Runge-Kutta Methods
- Generalized Linear Multistep Methods

## 2 General Linear Methods

- First Pass: GLM-lite
- GLM-lite: Old Methods, New Notation...
- New Methods, New Notation...

# In the Rear-view Mirror I

So far we have looked at three strategies for improving on Euler's method

- 1 Taylor Series Methods
  - Best used when the Taylor expansion of  $f(t, y(t))$  is available and cheap/easy to compute.
  - **Stiffness:** Small stability region. Step-size  $h$  very restrictive.

## In the Rear-view Mirror II

### 2 Runge-Kutta Methods

- When the Taylor expansion of  $f(t, y(t))$  is not easily computable (or prohibitively expensive), but multiple evaluation of  $f(t, y(t))$  incur a reasonable amount of work, then RK-methods are a good choice.
- **Stiffness:** When the problem is stiff, we have to use fully implicit RK-methods. We have seen that there are A-stable  $s$ -stage  $2s$ -order methods (Gauss-Legendre) for arbitrary  $s$ , as well as L-stable  $s$ -stage  $(2s-1)$ -order methods (Radau I-A, and II-A).

## In the Rear-view Mirror III

### 3 Linear Multistep Methods

- Explicit LMMs only require one new function evaluation per step, making them very competitive (fast and cheap). Used in the predictor-corrector context  $P(EC)^\mu$ , only  $(1+\mu)$  evaluations per step are required.
- The main **drawback** is that LMMs are not self-starting, so we need an RK- or Taylor-series method (possibly with Richardson Extrapolation) to generate enough accurate starting information.
- **Stiffness:** If/when we can live with an  $A(\alpha)$ -stable method, implementing efficient LMM-based stiff solvers is quite straightforward (at least up to order 6...)

## Strategies

We have 4 fundamental strategies on hand

- 1 Use more derivatives of  $y(t)$ , (*Taylor series methods*)
- 2 Use more past values, (*Linear Multistep Methods*)
- 3 Use more calculations per step, (*Runge Kutta*)
- 4 Use derivatives of  $f(t, y(t))$ , (*not used so far\**)

Combinations in the literature:

**Obreshkov** more (past values + derivatives of  $y(t)$ )  
~ LMM + Taylor

**Rosenbrock** more (derivatives of  $y(t)$ , and  $f(t, y(t))$  +  
calculations per step)  
~ RK + Taylor +  $f$ -derivatives

**General Linear** more (past values + calculations per step)  
~ LMM + RK

# History

- 1960–1970 Combining Runge-Kutta and Linear Multistep Method ideas; use of stage-derivatives (the RK- $k_i$ s) in previous steps in the formation of the final step. (Byrne and Lambert, 1966)
- 1964–1965 Hybrid Methods (Gragg and Stetter, 1964; Gear, 1965; Butcher, 1965); now “*modified multistep methods.*” (Butcher)
- \* The class of multivalued<sup>LMM</sup>-multistage<sup>RK</sup> methods are referred to as *General Linear Methods.*

## Pseudo Runge-Kutta Methods, I

Byrne-and-Lambert

Byrne-and-Lambert's RK+LMM idea boils down to  $s$  standard RK-stages (1-2)

$$Y_i = y_{n-1} + h \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} k_j^{[n]} \quad (1)$$

$$k_i^{[n]} = f(t_{n-1} + hc_i, Y_i) \quad (2)$$

$$y_n = y_{n-1} + h \left( \sum_{i=1}^s b_{i,0} k_i^{[n]} + \sum_{i=1}^s \bar{b}_{i,1} k_i^{[n-1]} \right) \quad (3)$$

followed by a modified step-assembly (3) using not only “current,” but also past  $k_j$ -values.

The associated Butcher array:

$\vec{c}$	$A$
	$\vec{b}_0^T$
	$\vec{b}_1^T$



## Pseudo Runge-Kutta Methods, II

Byrne-and-Lambert

or, in general

$$Y_i = y_{n-1} + h \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} k_j^{[n]}$$

$$k_i^{[n]} = f(t_{n-1} + hc_i, Y_i)$$

$$y_n = y_{n-1} + h \left( \sum_{i=1}^s b_{i,0} k_i^{[n]} + \sum_{p=1}^P \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \bar{b}_{i,p} k_i^{[n-p]} \right) \right)$$

$\vec{c}$	$A$
	$\vec{b}_0^T$
	$\vec{b}_1^T$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	$\vec{b}_P^T$

## Pseudo Runge-Kutta Methods, III

Byrne-and-Lambert

The following ( $s = 3$ )-stage Pseudo-RK method is order ( $p = 4$ ):

0			
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$		
1	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	
	$\frac{11}{12}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{4}$

Recall (Lecture #5)

Theorem (Butcher, 2008: p.187)

*If an explicit  $s$ -stage Runge-Kutta method has order  $p$ , then  $s \geq p$ .*

## Pseudo Runge-Kutta Methods, IV

Byrne-and-Lambert

Note that Pseudo-RK methods “inherit” the non-self-starting, and difficult-to-change-step-size properties from the LMM framework.

Starting and step-size changes can be handled with “classical” RK-methods, whose order of course must match the Pseudo-RK method in use.

Generalized Linear Multistep Methods, I a.k.a Hybrid Methods / Modified LMMs

- Generalizes LMM Predictor-Corrector pairs, by inserting additional *predictors*
- Additional predictors, usually, at off-step points

Example (Off-step predictor at  $\frac{8}{15} h$  — part 1)

- 1 Predict the value at  $t = t_{n-1} + \frac{8}{15} h = t_n - \frac{7}{17} h$  —  $y_{n-\frac{7}{15}}^{[p1]}$
- 2 Predict the value at  $t = t_n$  —  $y_n^{[p2]}$
- 3 Correct the value at  $t = t_n$  —  $y_n^{[c]}$

## Generalized Linear Multistep Methods, II a.k.a Hybrid Methods / Modified LMMs

Example (Off-step predictor at  $\frac{8}{15} h$  — part 2)

$$y_{n-\frac{7}{15}}^{[p1]} = -\frac{529}{3375} y_{n-1} + \frac{3904}{3375} y_{n-2} + h \left( \frac{4232}{3375} f_{n-1} + \frac{1472}{3375} f_{n-2} \right)$$

$$f_{n-\frac{7}{15}}^{[p1]} = f \left( t_n - \frac{7}{15} h, y_{n-\frac{7}{15}}^{[p1]} \right)$$

$$y_n^{[p2]} = \frac{152}{25} y_{n-1} - \frac{127}{25} y_{n-2} + h \left( \frac{189}{92} f_{n-\frac{7}{15}}^{[p1]} - \frac{419}{100} f_{n-1} - \frac{1118}{575} f_{n-2} \right)$$

$$f_n^{[p2]} = f \left( t_n, y_n^{[p2]} \right)$$

$$y_n^{[c]} = y_{n-1} + h \left( \frac{25}{168} f_n^{[p2]} + \frac{3375}{5152} f_{n-\frac{7}{15}}^{[p1]} + \frac{19}{96} f_{n-1} - \frac{1}{552} f_{n-2} \right)$$

## General Linear Methods, I

Butcher, 1966 / Burrage-and-Butcher, 1980

Given that  $r$  ( $d$ -dimensional) quantities are passed from step-to-step, one full step is completed once given the values  $\vec{y}^{[n-1]}$  we have computed  $\vec{y}^{[n]}$ :

$$\vec{y}^{[n-1]} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{[n-1]} \\ y_2^{[n-1]} \\ \vdots \\ y_r^{[n-1]} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{y}^{[n]} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{[n]} \\ y_2^{[n]} \\ \vdots \\ y_r^{[n]} \end{bmatrix}$$

using an  $s$ -stage method, during the step we compute  $s$  stage-values ( $\vec{Y}_i$ ), and  $s$  associated stage derivatives ( $\vec{F}_i$ )... We let,  $\vec{Y}$  and  $\vec{F}$  be the “supervectors” that contain the respective  $Y_i$  and  $F_i$  sub-vectors.

## General Linear Methods, II

Butcher, 1966 / Burrage-and-Butcher, 1980

- As for RK-methods, stages consist of linear combinations of stage-derivatives.
- Additional linear combinations are needed to express the dependence on the *input* information.
- ... and the *output* quantities depend linearly on both the stage derivatives, and the input quantities.

All-in-all, we need 4 matrices to capture the computations of one stage:

$$A = [a_{ij}]_{s,s}, \quad U = [u_{ij}]_{s,r}, \quad B = [b_{ij}]_{r,s}, \quad V = [v_{ij}]_{r,r}.$$

## General Linear Methods, III

Butcher, 1966 / Burrage-and-Butcher, 1980

The stage-computations are given by

$$Y_i = h \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} F_j + \sum_{j=1}^r u_{ij} y_j^{[n-1]}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s$$
$$y_i^{[n]} = h \sum_{j=1}^s b_{ij} F_j + \sum_{j=1}^r v_{ij} y_j^{[n-1]}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

or, in more compact notation

$$Y = h(A \otimes I_d)F + (U \otimes I_d)y^{[n-1]}$$
$$y^{[n]} = h(B \otimes I_d)F + (V \otimes I_d)y^{[n-1]}$$



## Old Methods, New Notation... — I

In all cases, we can express the GLM using an  $(s + r) \times (s + r)$  matrix:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A_{s,s} & U_{s,r} \\ \hline B_{r,s} & V_{r,r} \end{array} \right]$$

it turns out we can cast quite a few of our well-known schemes in this notation...

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Euler's Method

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Implicit Euler

## Old Methods, New Notation... — II

The 2nd order, 2-stage Runge-Kutta, with Butcher array

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & & \\ 1 & 1 & \\ \hline & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$$

can be expressed as a GLM:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

## Old Methods, New Notation... — III

The 3rd order, 3-stage Runge-Kutta, with Butcher array

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \\ \hline & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \end{array}$$

can be expressed as a GLM:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

## Old Methods, New Notation... — IV

The 4th order, 4-stage Runge-Kutta, with Butcher array

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|cccc} 0 & & & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ \hline & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \end{array} \right]$$

can be expressed as a GLM:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

## Old Methods, New Notation... — V

2nd order Adams-Bashforth, and Adams-Moulton methods:

$$y_{n+1}^{\text{AB}} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} [3f_n - f_{n-1}]$$

$$y_{n+1}^{\text{AM}} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} [f_{n+1} + f_n]$$

in GLM-notation:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

## Old Methods, New Notation... — VI

Uh?!?

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

This means,

$$Y_1 = y_1^{[n-1]} + \frac{3}{2}y_2^{[n-1]} - \frac{1}{2}y_3^{[n-1]}$$

solution  $y_1^{[n]} = y_1^{[n-1]} + \frac{3}{2}y_2^{[n-1]} - \frac{1}{2}y_3^{[n-1]}$

step-derivative  $y_2^{[n]} = h F_1 \equiv \mathbf{f}(Y_1)$

step-derivative  $y_3^{[n]} = y_2^{[n-1]}$

## Old Methods, New Notation... — VII

2nd order Adams-Bashforth, and Adams-Moulton methods:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Operating in P(EC)E mode:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

## New Methods, New Notation... — VII

Byrne-Lambert's 4th order 3-stage Pseudo-RK:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 0 & & & \\
 \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & & \\
 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{4}{3} & \\
 \hline
 & \frac{11}{12} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} \\
 \hline
 & \frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{4}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{GLM:} \quad
 \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{4}{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 \hline
 \frac{11}{12} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 & \frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{4} \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
 \end{array} \right]$$



## New Methods, New Notation... — VIII

Off-step predictor at  $\frac{8}{15} h$  —

$$\text{GLM: } \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{529}{3375} & \frac{3904}{3375} & \frac{4232}{3375} & \frac{1472}{3375} \\ \frac{189}{92} & 0 & 0 & \frac{152}{25} & -\frac{127}{25} & -\frac{419}{100} & -\frac{1118}{575} \\ \frac{3375}{5152} & \frac{25}{168} & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{19}{96} & -\frac{1}{552} \\ \hline \frac{3375}{5152} & \frac{25}{168} & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{19}{96} & -\frac{1}{552} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

The output quantities are:

$$y_1^{[n]} \approx y(t_n),$$

$$y_2^{[n]} \approx y(t_{n-1}),$$

$$y_3^{[n]} \approx h y'(t_n),$$

$$y_4^{[n]} \approx h y'(t_{n-1}).$$