Numerical Solutions to Differential Equations Lecture Notes #7 — Linear Multistep Methods

Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com}

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Dynamical Systems Group Computational Sciences Research Center San Diego State University San Diego, CA 92182-7720

http://terminus.sdsu.edu/

Spring 2015



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction and Recap

- Linear Multistep Methods, Historical Overview
- Zero-Stability

2 Limitations on Achievable Order

- The First Dahlquist Barrier
- Example: 2-step, Order 4 Simpson's Rule

3 Stability Theory

- Model Problem ~>> Stability Polynomial
- Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method
- Backward Differentiation Formulas



Quick Review, Higher Order Methods for y'(t) = f(t, y)

- **Taylor** When the Taylor series for f(t, y) is available, we can use the expansion to build higher accurate methods.
 - **RK** If the Taylor series is not available (or too expensive), but f(t, y) easily can be computed, then RK-methods are a good option. RK-methods compute / sample / measure f(t, y) in a neighborhood of the solution curve and use those a combination of the values to determine the final step from (t_n, y_n) to (t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) .
 - **LMM** If the Taylor series is not available, and f(t, y) is expensive to compute (could be a lab experiment?), then LMMs are a good idea. Only one new evaluation of f(t, y) needed per iteration. LMMs use more of the history $\{(t_{n-k}, y_{n-k}); k = 0, ..., s\}$ to build up the step.

Linear Multistep Methods, Historical Overview Zero-Stability

Chronology

Methods

- 1883 Adams and Bashforth introduce the idea of improving the Euler method by letting the solution depend on a longer "history" of computed values. (Now known as Adams-Bashforth schemes)
- 1925 Nyström proposes another class of LMM methods, $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta^k - \zeta^{k-2}$, explicit.
- 1926 Moulton developed the implicit version of Adams and Bashforth's idea. (Now known as Adams-Moulton schemes)
- 1952 Curtiss and Hirschenfelder Backward difference methods.
- 1953 Milne's methods, $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta^k \zeta^{k-2}$, implicit.

Modern Theory

1956 Dahlquist

1962 Henrici



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Linear Multistep Methods, Historical Overview Zero-Stability

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A)

Introducing Zero-Stability

Consider the LMM applied to a noise-free problem:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} f_{n+j}$$

$$y_{\mu} = \eta_{\mu}(h), \ \mu = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$$

and the same LMM applied to a slightly perturbed system

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j f_{n+j} + \delta_{n+k}$$
$$y_{\mu} = \eta_{\mu}(h) + \delta_{\mu}, \ \mu = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$$

Perturbations are typically due to discretization and round-off.



Linear Multistep Methods, Historical Overview Zero-Stability

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三)

Defining Zero-Stability



Definition (Zero-stability)

Let $\{\delta_n, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ and $\{\delta_n^*, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ be any two perturbations of the LMM, and let $\{y_n, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ and $\{y_n^*, n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ be the resulting solutions. If there exists constants S and h_0 such that, for all $h \in (0, h_0]$,

$$\|y_n - y_n^*\| \le S\epsilon, \quad 0 \le n \le N$$

whenever

$$\|\delta_n - \delta_n^*\| \le \epsilon, \quad 0 \le n \le N$$

the method is said to be zero stable.



Linear Multistep Methods, Historical Overview Zero-Stability

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Interpreting Zero-Stability



- (7/30)

Applying the LMM to
$$z_n = y_n - y_n^*$$
, $\widehat{\delta}_n = \delta_n - \delta_n^*$ gives:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j z_{n+j} = \widehat{\delta}_{n+k}$$
$$z_{\mu} = \widehat{\delta}_{\mu}, \ \mu = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$$

Interpretation

That is, zero-stability guarantees that a zero-forced system (with zero starting-values) produces errors bounded by the round-off noise.

In infinite precision, the solution stays at zero.

Linear Multistep Methods, Historical Overview Zero-Stability

(ロ) (部) (注) ()

A Simple Criterion for Zero-Stability

If the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j y_{n+j} = 0, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho(\zeta) = 0$$

satisfies the root criterion

$$|r_j| \leq 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$$

then the method is zero-stable.

Theorem (Convergence)

The method is **convergent** if and only if it is consistent and zero-stable.

Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com} Linear Multistep Methods



The First Dahlquist Barrier Example: 2-step, Order 4 — Simpson's Rule

The First Dahlquist Barrier, I/III

Theorem (Germund Dahlquist, 1956)

No zero-stable s-step method can have order exceeding (s + 1) when s is odd, and (s + 2) when s is even.

Definition

A zero-stable *s*-step method is said to be **optimal** if it is of order (s + 2).



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三)

Statement

The First Dahlquist Barrier Example: 2-step, Order 4 — Simpson's Rule

The First Dahlquist Barrier, I/III

Theorem (Germund Dahlquist, 1956)

No zero-stable s-step method can have order exceeding (s + 1) when s is odd, and (s + 2) when s is even.

Definition

A zero-stable *s*-step method is said to be **optimal** if it is of order (s + 2).

Observation

Simpson's rule is optimal (to be shown...)

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = \frac{h}{3} \left[f_{n+2} + 4f_{n+1} + f_n \right]$$

Note: Zero-stability does not give us the whole picture; see absolute stability... (coming right up!)

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

Linear Multistep Methods



Statement

The First Dahlquist Barrier, II/III

Newton-Cotes Errors

The first Dahlquist barrier reminds us of something from Math 541:

Theorem (Errors for Newton-Cotes Integration Formulas)

Suppose that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i f(x_i)$ denotes the (n + 1) point closed Newton-Cotes formula with $x_0 = a$, $x_n = b$, and h = (b - a)/n. Then there exists $\xi \in (a, b)$ for which

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}f(x_{i}) + \frac{\mathbf{h}^{n+3}\mathbf{f}^{(n+2)}(\xi)}{(n+2)!} \int_{0}^{n} t^{2}(t-1)\cdots(t-n)dt,$$

if **n** is even and $f \in C^{n+2}[a, b]$, and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f(x_{i}) + \frac{h^{n+2} f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} \int_{0}^{n} t(t-1) \cdots (t-n) dt,$$

if **n** is odd and $f \in C^{n+1}[a, b]$.

J



The First Dahlquist Barrier, III/III

Comments

- For the Newton-Cotes' formulas: when n is an even integer, the degree of precision (higher order polynomial for which the formula is exact) is (n + 1). When n is odd, the degree of precision is only n.
- For zero-stable s-step LMMs: when s is even, the order is at most (s + 2); when s is odd, the order is at most (s + 1).

Coincidence?



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The First Dahlquist Barrier, III/III

Comments

- For the Newton-Cotes' formulas: when n is an even integer, the degree of precision (higher order polynomial for which the formula is exact) is (n + 1). When n is odd, the degree of precision is only n.
- For zero-stable s-step LMMs: when s is even, the order is at most (s + 2); when s is odd, the order is at most (s + 1).

Coincidence? — Unlikely!

The LMMs get the next y_{k+1} by integrating over the solution history; and the Newton-Cotes' formulas give the (numerical) integral over an interval.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The First Dahlquist Barrier Example: 2-step, Order 4 — Simpson's Rule

Simpson's Rule, $y_{n+1} - y_{n-1} = \frac{h}{3}[f_{n+1} + 4f_n + f_{n-1}]$

For **notational convenience**, the points have been re-numbered (index lowered by one), and we expand around the center point (t_n, y_n) :

$$y_{n+1} \sim y_n + hy'_n + \frac{h^2}{2}y''_n + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''_n + \frac{h^4}{24}y_n^{(4)} + \frac{h^5}{120}y_n^{(5)} + \mathcal{O}(h^6)$$

$$y_{n-1} \sim y_n - hy'_n + \frac{h^2}{2}y''_n - \frac{h^3}{6}y'''_n + \frac{h^4}{24}y_n^{(4)} - \frac{h^5}{120}y_n^{(5)} + \mathcal{O}(h^6)$$

LHS $\sim 2hy'_n + \frac{h^3}{3}y'''_n + \frac{h^5}{60}y_n^{(5)} + \mathcal{O}(h^7)$



Simpson's Rule, $y_{n+1} - y_{n-1} = \frac{h}{3}[f_{n+1} + 4f_n + f_{n-1}]$

For **notational convenience**, the points have been re-numbered (index lowered by one), and we expand around the center point (t_n, y_n) :

$$y_{n+1} \sim y_n + hy'_n + \frac{h^2}{2}y''_n + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''_n + \frac{h^4}{24}y_n^{(4)} + \frac{h^5}{120}y_n^{(5)} + \mathcal{O}(h^6)$$

$$y_{n-1} \sim y_n - hy'_n + \frac{h^2}{2}y''_n - \frac{h^3}{6}y'''_n + \frac{h^4}{24}y_n^{(4)} - \frac{h^5}{120}y_n^{(5)} + \mathcal{O}(h^6)$$

LHS $\sim 2hy'_n + \frac{h^3}{3}y'''_n + \frac{h^5}{60}y_n^{(5)} + \mathcal{O}(h^7)$

-

The First Dahlquist Barrier Example: 2-step, Order 4 — Simpson's Rule

Simpson's Rule, $y_{n+1} - y_{n-1} = \frac{h}{3}[f_{n+1} + 4f_n + f_{n-1}]$, II

LHS ~
$$2hy'_n + \frac{h^3}{3}y'''_n + \frac{h^5}{60}y'^{(5)}_n + \mathcal{O}(h^7)$$

RHS ~ $\frac{h}{3}\left[6f_n + h^2f''_n + \frac{h^4}{12}f^{(4)}_n + \mathcal{O}(h^6)\right]$



3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The First Dahlquist Barrier Example: 2-step, Order 4 — Simpson's Rule

Simpson's Rule, $y_{n+1} - y_{n-1} = \frac{h}{3}[f_{n+1} + 4f_n + f_{n-1}]$, II

LHS ~
$$2hy'_n + \frac{h^3}{3}y'''_n + \frac{h^5}{60}y'^{(5)}_n + \mathcal{O}(h^7)$$

RHS ~ $\frac{h}{3}\left[6f_n + h^2f''_n + \frac{h^4}{12}f^{(4)}_n + \mathcal{O}(h^6)\right]$

Use the equation $y'(t) = f(t, y) \Leftrightarrow y^{(k+1)}(t) = f^{(k)}(t, y)$:

$$\frac{\text{LHS} \sim 2hf_n + \frac{h^3}{3}f_n'' + \frac{h^5}{60}f_n^{(4)} + \mathcal{O}(h^7)}{\text{RHS} \sim 2hf_n + \frac{h^3}{3}f_n'' + \frac{h^5}{24}f_n^{(4)} + \mathcal{O}(h^7)}$$
$$\frac{\text{LHS} - \text{RHS}}{h} = h^4 \left[\frac{1}{60} - \frac{1}{24}\right]f_n^{(4)} + \mathcal{O}(h^6)$$

3

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

The First Dahlquist Barrier Example: 2-step, Order 4 — Simpson's Rule

Simpson's Rule, $y_{n+1} - y_{n-1} = \frac{h}{3}[f_{n+1} + 4f_n + f_{n-1}]$, II

LHS ~
$$2hy'_n + \frac{h^3}{3}y'''_n + \frac{h^5}{60}y'^{(5)}_n + \mathcal{O}(h^7)$$

RHS ~ $\frac{h}{3}\left[6f_n + h^2f''_n + \frac{h^4}{12}f^{(4)}_n + \mathcal{O}(h^6)\right]$

Use the equation $y'(t) = f(t, y) \Leftrightarrow y^{(k+1)}(t) = f^{(k)}(t, y)$:

$$\frac{\text{LHS}}{\text{RHS}} \sim 2hf_n + \frac{h^3}{3}f_n'' + \frac{h^5}{60}f_n^{(4)} + \mathcal{O}(h^7)$$

$$\frac{1}{\text{RHS}} \sim 2hf_n + \frac{h^3}{3}f_n'' + \frac{h^5}{24}f_n^{(4)} + \mathcal{O}(h^7)$$

$$\frac{1}{1}$$

$$\frac$$

Simpson's Rule — Local Truncation Error $LTE_{Simpson}(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^4)$ Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) Linear Multistep Methods

Linear Stability Theory for LMMs

As we did for RK-methods we apply our LMMs to the problem

$$y'(t) = \lambda y(t), \quad Re(\lambda) \leq 0$$

and search for the region $\hat{h} = (h\lambda)$ where the LMM does not grow exponentially.



イロト イポト イラト イラト

Linear Stability Theory for LMMs

As we did for RK-methods we apply our LMMs to the problem

$$y'(t) = \lambda y(t), \quad \textit{Re}(\lambda) \leq 0$$

and search for the region $\hat{h} = (h\lambda)$ where the LMM does not grow exponentially.

We get...

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j f_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j \lambda y_{n+j}$$

Thus...

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{k}} \left[\alpha_{\mathbf{j}} - \mathbf{h} \beta_{\mathbf{j}} \lambda \right] \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{0}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

-(14/30)

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Linear Stability Theory for LMMs, II

We have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \left[\alpha_j - \mathbf{h} \beta_j \lambda \right] \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{0}$$

A general solution of this difference equation is

 $y_n = r_0 r^n$

where r is a root of the characteristic polynomial

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left[\alpha_j - h \beta_j \lambda \right] r^j = \rho(r) - \widehat{h} \sigma(r) = \pi(r, \widehat{h})$$

 $\pi(r, \hat{h})$ is called the **stability polynomial**.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Linear Stability Theory: Absolute Stability

Definition (Absolute Stability)

A linear multistep method is said to be **absolutely stable** for a given \hat{h} , if for that \hat{h} all the roots of the stability polynomial $\pi(r, \hat{h})$ satisfy $|r_j| < 1, j = 1, 2, ..., s$, and to be **absolutely unstable** for that \hat{h} otherwise.



Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Linear Stability Theory: Absolute Stability

Definition (Absolute Stability)

A linear multistep method is said to be **absolutely stable** for a given \hat{h} , if for that \hat{h} all the roots of the stability polynomial $\pi(r, \hat{h})$ satisfy $|r_j| < 1, j = 1, 2, ..., s$, and to be **absolutely unstable** for that \hat{h} otherwise.

Definition (Region of Absolute Stability)

The LMM is said to have the **region of absolute stability** \mathcal{R}_A , where \mathcal{R}_A is a region in the complex \hat{h} -plane, if it is absolutely stable for all $\hat{h} \in \mathcal{R}_A$. The intersection of \mathcal{R}_A with the real axis is called the **interval of absolute stability**.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The Boundary Locus Method

The boundary of \mathcal{R}_A , denoted $\partial \mathcal{R}_A$ is given by the points where one of the roots of $\pi(r, \hat{h})$ is $e^{i\theta}$. $\partial \mathcal{R}_A$ is \hat{h} such that

$$\pi(e^{i heta},\widehat{h})=
ho(e^{i heta})-\widehat{h}\sigma(e^{i heta})=0, \quad heta\in[0,2\pi)$$

Solving for \hat{h} gives

Method: Boundary Locus

$$\widehat{\mathsf{h}}(heta) = rac{
ho(\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i} heta})}{\sigma(\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i} heta})}, \hspace{1em} heta \in [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}\pi)$$

-(17/30)

The Region of Absolute Stability for Simpson's Method

Consider Simpson's Rule, and its characteristic polynomials

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = \frac{h}{3} \left[f_{n+2} + 4f_{n+1} + f_n \right]$$
$$\rho(\zeta) = \zeta^2 - 1, \quad \sigma(\zeta) = \frac{1}{3} \left[\zeta^2 + 4\zeta + 1 \right]$$

The $\partial \mathcal{R}_A$ is given by

 $\widehat{h}(\theta) = 3\frac{e^{2i\theta} - 1}{e^{2i\theta} + 4e^{i\theta} + 1} = 3\frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} + 4 + e^{-i\theta}} = \frac{6i\sin\theta}{4 + 2\cos\theta} = \frac{3i\sin\theta}{2 + \cos\theta}$

Hence $\partial \mathcal{R}_A$ is the segment $[-i\sqrt{3}, i\sqrt{3}]$ of the imaginary axis. Simpson's Rule has a zero-area region of absolute stability (Bummer).



Optimal Methods are not so Optimal after all...

- All optimal methods have regions of absolute stability which are either empty, or essentially useless they do not contain the negative real axis in the neighborhood of the origin.
- By squeezing out the maximum possible order, subject to zero-stability, the region of absolute stability get squeezed flat.
- "Optimal" methods are essentially useless.



Model Problem \rightsquigarrow Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for Adams-Bashforth Methods



Model Problem \rightsquigarrow Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for Adams-Bashforth Methods



Model Problem ~> Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method **Backward Differentiation Formulas**

Stability Regions for Adams-Bashforth Methods



Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

Model Problem ~> Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method **Backward Differentiation Formulas**

Stability Regions for Adams-Bashforth Methods



Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

Model Problem ~> Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method **Backward Differentiation Formulas**

Stability Regions for Adams-Bashforth Methods



Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

Model Problem ~> Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method **Backward Differentiation Formulas**

Stability Regions for Adams-Bashforth Methods



Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

Stability Regions for Adams-Bashforth Methods

$$|r_{\nu}| > 1$$
 count



Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com}

Linear Multistep Methods

— (21/30)

Model Problem \rightsquigarrow Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for Adams-Moulton Methods



The Exterior of the circle!

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

A D > <
 B >
 A

∃ >

Model Problem \rightsquigarrow Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for Adams-Moulton Methods



The left half plane!

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

∃ >

Model Problem \rightsquigarrow Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for Adams-Moulton Methods



Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

-

Model Problem \rightsquigarrow Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for Adams-Moulton Methods



Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

Model Problem \rightsquigarrow Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for Adams-Moulton Methods



Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

Model Problem \rightsquigarrow Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for Adams-Moulton Methods



Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

문 🔰 🖂 문

Absolute Stability Matters!

So far we have seen (only) two methods which produce bounded solutions to the ODE

$$y'(t) = \lambda y(t)$$

for all λ : $Re(\lambda) < 0$:

Implicit Euler (Adams-Moulton, n = 1)

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf_{n+1}$$

Trapezoidal Rule (Adams-Moulton, n = 2)

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} \bigg[f_{n+1} + f_n \bigg]$$

The size of the stability region located in the left half plane tends to shrink as we require higher order accuracy — requiring a smaller stepsize *h*.

-(23/30)

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) Linear Multistep Methods

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Backward Differentiation Formulas

Can we find high order methods with large stability regions?!?

Yes!

The class of Backward Differentiation Formulas (BDF) defined by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \beta_k f_{n+k}$$

have large regions of absolute stability.

Note that the right-hand side is simple, but the left-hand side is more complicated (the opposite of Adams-methods).



The *k*th order BDF is derived by constructing the polynomial interpolant through the points

$$(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), (t_n, y_n), \ldots, (t_{n-k+1}, y_{n-k+1}),$$

i.e. (after re-numbering the points: $0, 1, \ldots, k$)

$$extsf{P}_k(t) = \sum_{m=0}^k y_{n+m} L_{k,m}(t), \quad extsf{where } L_{k,m}(t) = \prod_{\ell=0,\ell
eq m}^k rac{t-t_\ell}{t_m-t_\ell}$$

and then computing the derivative of this polynomial at the point corresponding to t_{n+1} and setting it equal to f_{n+1} .



(a) <</p>

Deriving BDF

II/IV

Newton's Backward Difference Formula (Math 541) comes in handy. We can write the interpolating polynomial

$$P_k(t_{n+1}+sh) = y_{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \binom{-s}{j} \nabla^j y_{n+1}$$

where Newton's divided differences are

$$abla y_{n+1} = \left[y_{n+1} - y_n\right], \quad \nabla^2 y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla y_{n+1} - \nabla y_n\right], \quad \dots$$



イロト イポト イラト イラト

Deriving BDF

III/IV

-(27/30)

The binomial coefficient is given by

$$\binom{-s}{j} = \frac{-s(-s-1)\cdots(-s-j+1)}{j!} = (-1)^j \frac{s(s+1)\cdots(s+j-1)}{j!}$$

In order to compute $P'_k(t_{n+1})$ we need to compute

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \binom{-s}{j} \right|_{s=0}$$

Massive application of the product rule gives us

$$\frac{d}{ds}\binom{-s}{j}\Big|_{s=0} = (-1)^j \frac{(j-1)!}{j!} = \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}$$

That is

$$hP'_{k}(t_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{2j}}{j} \nabla^{j} y_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j} \nabla^{j} y_{n+1}$$

Deriving BDF

IV/IV

We now have

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j} \nabla^j y_{n+1} = h f_{n+1}$$

Making sure that the coefficient for y_{n+1} is 1:

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j}\right]^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j} \nabla^{j} y_{n+1} = h \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j}\right]^{-1} f_{n+1}$$



æ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

BDFs, k = 1, 2, ..., 6

k		BDF		LTE
1	$y_{n+1} - y_n$	=	hf_{n+1}	$-\frac{1}{2}h$
2	$y_{n+1} - \frac{4}{3}y_n + \frac{1}{3}y_{n-1}$	=	$\frac{2}{3}hf_{n+1}$	$-\frac{2}{9}h^2$
3	$y_{n+1} - \frac{18}{11}y_n + \frac{9}{11}y_{n-1} - \frac{2}{11}y_{n-2}$	=	$\frac{6}{11}hf_{n+1}$	$-\frac{3}{22}h^{3}$
4	$y_{n+1} - \frac{48}{25}y_n + \frac{36}{25}y_{n-1} - \frac{16}{25}y_{n-2} + \frac{3}{25}y_{n-3}$	=	$\frac{12}{25}hf_{n+1}$	$-\frac{12}{125}h^4$
5	$y_{n+1} - \frac{300}{137}y_n + \frac{300}{137}y_{n-1} - \frac{200}{137}y_{n-2}$			
	$+\frac{75}{137}y_{n-3}-\frac{12}{137}y_{n-4}$	=	$\frac{60}{137} h f_{n+1}$	$-rac{10}{137}h^5$
6	$y_{n+1} - \frac{360}{147}y_n + \frac{450}{147}y_{n-1} - \frac{400}{147}y_{n-2}$			
	$+\frac{225}{147}y_{n-3}-\frac{72}{147}y_{n-4}+\frac{10}{147}y_{n-5}$	=	$\frac{60}{147} h f_{n+1}$	$-\frac{20}{343}h^6$

These are all **zero-stable**. BDFs for $k \ge 7$ are not zero-stable.

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

Linear Multistep Methods

3

- (29/30)

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for BDF Methods

BDF Methods

Stability Regions





æ

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

The Exterior(s)

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for BDF Methods



0

(a) <</p>

æ

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for BDF Methods



Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com

æ

- (30/30)

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for BDF Methods



— (30/30)

æ

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for BDF Methods

BDF Methods

Stability Regions



The Exterior(s) / Part of Left Half Plane

Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com}

- (30/30)

Model Problem → Stability Polynomial Visualization: The Boundary Locus Method Backward Differentiation Formulas

Stability Regions for BDF Methods



The Exterior(s) / Part of Left Half Plane

Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com



æ