	Outline		
Numerical Solutions to Differential Equations Lecture Notes #19 — BVP: The Shooting Method, continued	 Introduction Recap Rough Roadmap for This Lecture, and Beyond 		
Peter Blomgren, <pre></pre>	 2 Shooting for Systems of ODEs Convert BVP → IVP Taylor Expanding Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions The Final Formulation 3 Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting The Idea 		
Department of Mathematics and Statistics Dynamical Systems Group Computational Sciences Research Center San Diego State University San Diego, CA 92182-7720 http://terminus.sdsu.edu/			
Spring 2015	• Example: Euler-Bernoulli Beam Deflection		
Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) BVP: The Shooting Method, continued — (1/31)	Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) BVP: The Shooting Method, continued		
Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting	Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting		
k Recap — Boundary Value Problems	Today's Lecture and Looking Forward		

Last time:

Quic

- Physical motivation for boundary value problems bending beams (constructing bridges and buildings); cooling fins keeping those processors running!
- The shooting method convert a BVP into a sequence of IVPs and apply techniques from the first half of the semester!
 - Variational approach add ODEs for the **sensitivity variables**.
 - Finite difference approach approximate the sensitivity by differences of the results of different initial guesses.

Theory

- Generalize shooting methods to larger systems (*n* simultaneous ODEs).
- Example
 - Shooting for a 4th order ODE Beam Bending.
- Other Approaches
 - Finite Difference Methods (next time)
- Higher Order Equations (FD)
- Nonlinear Equations
- "Topics"

- (2/31)

— (4/31)

Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting

Generalizing Shooting to Systems of ODEs

Given a system of simultaneous ODEs

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_1'(x) &=& f_1(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ y_2'(x) &=& f_2(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ &\vdots \\ y_n'(x) &=& f_n(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \end{array} \qquad x \in [a, b]$$

Convert BVP ~~ IVP

Taylor Expanding

Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions

with boundary conditions

$$y_i(b) = y_i^b, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

 $y_i(a) = y_i^a, \quad i = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n$

In order to convert this to an initial value problem, we have to replace the first k terminal conditions with k (guessed) initial conditions.



k-dimensional Discrepancy Functions

- Let $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k\}^T$ be the vector of guessed initial values.
- Let $y_i(b; \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}), i = 1, 2, ..., k$ be the terminal values.
- Define h_i(**Y**) = y_i(b; **Y**) y_i^b, i = 1, 2, ..., k be the discrepancy functions measuring how far off the computed terminal solutions are from the desired values of the terminal conditions.
- We are now looking for a correction Δ**Υ** to the guesses **Υ**, so that the corrected initial conditions lead to a solution with h(**Υ** + Δ**Υ**) = 0.
- We use our favorite mathematical tool the Taylor Expansion to get an equation for the correction.

Convert BVP → IVP ... Taylor Expanding Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions The Final Formulation

k-dimensional Initial Guesses

We want to find k initial guesses

$$y_i(a) = Y_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

so that the solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} y_1'(x) = f_1(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ y_2'(x) = f_2(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ y_n'(x) = f_n(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \end{cases} \quad x \in [a, b]$$

with **initial** conditions

$$y_i(a) = Y_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., k$$

 $y_i(a) = y_i^a, \quad i = k + 1, k + 2, ..., n$

Satisfies the terminal conditions $y_i(b) = y_i^b$, i = 1, 2, ..., k.

Peter Blomgren, <code>{blomgren.peter@gmail.com}</code>	BVP: The Shooting Method, continued	— (6/31)
Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting	Convert BVP → IVP Taylor Expanding Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions The Final Formulation	
Taylor Expanding and Truncating		

We Taylor expand, and throw out terms of order ≥ 2 (just as in the Taylor-derivation of Newton's Method — Math 541):

$$0 = h(\mathbf{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta \mathbf{\tilde{Y}}) = h_i(\mathbf{\tilde{Y}}) + \sum_{j=1}^k \left[\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial Y_j} \Delta Y_j \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

We end up with the following $k \times k$ system of equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial Y_1} \end{bmatrix} \Delta Y_1 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial Y_2} \end{bmatrix} \Delta Y_2 + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial Y_k} \end{bmatrix} \Delta Y_k = -h_1(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}})$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial Y_1} \end{bmatrix} \Delta Y_1 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial Y_2} \end{bmatrix} \Delta Y_2 + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial Y_k} \end{bmatrix} \Delta Y_k = -h_2(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}})$$
$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

 $\left[\frac{\partial h_k}{\partial Y_1}\right] \Delta Y_1 + \left[\frac{\partial h_k}{\partial Y_2}\right] \Delta Y_2 + \dots + \left[\frac{\partial h_k}{\partial Y_k}\right] \Delta Y_k = -h_k(\mathbf{\tilde{Y}})$

Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting Convert BVP ---- IVP ... Taylor Expanding Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions The Final Formulation

A Little Bit of Matrix Notation

- Let $\Delta \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = {\{\Delta Y_1, \Delta Y_2, \dots, \Delta Y_k\}^T}$ be the vector of updates.
- Let \$\tilde{h}(\tilde{Y}) = {h_1(\tilde{Y}), h_2(\tilde{Y}), \ldots, h_k(\tilde{Y})}^T\$ be the vector of discrepancy functions.
- Let the matrix J(Y
 , b) be the matrix the Jacobian, with entries

$$J_{i,j} = \left. \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial Y_j} \right|_{x=b}$$

• Then the equation for the update becomes

$$\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{ ilde{Y}} = -\left[\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{ ilde{Y}},\mathbf{b})
ight]^{-1}\mathbf{ ilde{h}}(\mathbf{ ilde{Y}})$$

Convert BVP ~ IVP ... Taylor Expanding Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions The Final Formulation

Computing the Entries of the Jacobian at x = b

The entries of the Jacobian are the partial derivatives of the discrepancy functions with respect to the guessed initial values **computed at the terminal point.**

As in the one-constraint problem we looked at last time, we have to derive **additional ODEs** to get equations for the needed values.

We differentiate the ODEs we already have, with respect to the guessed initial values; apply the chain rule, and the fact that we can switch the order of differentiation... we get...

∂	$\left[dy_i \right] $	d	$\left[\partial y_i \right]_{}$	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial f_i \partial y_k$
$\overline{\partial Y_j}$	$\left[\frac{dx}{dx}\right] =$	\overline{dx}	$\left\lfloor \overline{\partial Y_j} \right\rfloor =$	$= \sum_{k=1} \overline{\partial y_k} \ \overline{\partial Y_j}$

where i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 1, 2, ..., k.

Peter Blomgren, $\langle \texttt{blomgren.peter@gmail.com} \rangle$	BVP: The Shooting Method, continued $-(9/31)$	Peter Blomgren, <pre> blomgren.peter@gmail.com</pre>	BVP: The Shooting Method, continued	— (10/31)
Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting	Convert BVP → IVP Taylor Expanding Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions The Final Formulation	Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting	Convert BVP \rightsquigarrow IVP Taylor Expanding Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions The Final Formulation	
Equations for the Sensitivity Function	ns I/II	Equations for the Sensitivity Functions		11/11
We define the sensitivity function	5	The initial conditions for the sensiti	ivity functions are	
$g_{ij} = rac{\partial y_i}{Y_j}$	$\left[=\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial Y_j}\right]$	$g_{ij}=\left\{egin{array}{ccc} 1 & ext{if} \ i=j \ 0 & ext{if} \ i eq j \end{array}; i=1 ight.$	$1,2,\ldots,n, j=1,2,\ldots,k$	
and get the following set of $n \times k$ $\frac{dg_{ij}}{dx} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[g_{kj} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_k} \right], i =$	TODEs: 1, 2,, n, j = 1, 2,, k	This makes sense since at $x = a$ the values — • $y_i(a) \equiv Y_i$, $i = 1, 2,, k$, and • $v_i(a) = v_i^a$, $i = k + 1, k + 2,$	ere is no mixing of the guess d , <i>n</i> .	ed

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) BVP: The Shooting Method, continued

— (12/31)

Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting The Final Formulation

Putting the Pieces Together

Now, we solve the following IVP consisting of $(n + n \times k)$ simultaneous ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} y_1'(x) = f_1(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ y_2'(x) = f_2(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ y_n'(x) = f_n(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ g_{ij}' = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[g_{kj} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_k} \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k \end{cases}$$

with initial conditions

 $y_i(a) = Y_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., k$ $y_i(a) = y_i^a, \quad i = k + 1, k + 2, ..., n$ $g_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}; \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., k$ Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting

Convert BVP → IVP ... Taylor Expanding Additional ODEs for the Sensitivity Functions The Final Formulation

||/||

Putting the Pieces Together

At the terminal point x = b, we compute the discrepancy functions $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}})$, and the entries of the Jacobian $J_{i,j} = g_{i,j}(b)$.

lf

1/11

$$\|\mathbf{\tilde{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{Y}})\| > tolerance$$

(or other stopping criteria) then we update the guess

$$\mathbf{\tilde{Y}}^{(s+1)} = \mathbf{\tilde{Y}}^{(s)} - \left[J(\mathbf{\tilde{Y}}^{(s)}, b)\right]^{-1} \mathbf{\tilde{h}}(\mathbf{\tilde{Y}}^{(s)}),$$

and start over.

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) BVP: The Shooting Method, continued — (13/31)	Peter Blomgren, <pre></pre>
Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting The Final Formulation	Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting
Comments	The Numerical Alternative Suitable for Concurrency
 We started with n ODEs. The equations for the sensitivity functions added (n × k) ODEs. That can be a high price to pay! If n = 1000 and k = 500 (a very reasonably sized problem), then the extended system has 501,000 equations! 	 If/When the price is too high, we can compute numerical (difference) approximations of the terminal values of the sensitivity functions. Let Y^ϵ_j = ϵ{δ_{1j}, δ_{2j},, δ_{kj}}, <i>i.e.</i> the vector of all zeros, except the value ϵ in the <i>j</i>th position. If we solve the initial value problem for the two initial guesses Y and Y + Y^ϵ_i we can compute the difference approximations
 The good news: The ODEs and initial conditions for the additional equations are very easy to write down: g'_{ij} = Σⁿ_{k=1} [g_{kj} ∂f_i/∂y_k], i = 1, 2,, n, j = 1, 2,, k g_{ij}(a) = δ_{ij} 	$\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial Y_j}\Big _{x=b} \approx \frac{h_i(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} + \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_j^{\epsilon}) - h_i(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}})}{\epsilon}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ Let $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ gives us approximations to all entries of the Jacobian. • The price: Solving the system of n ODEs $(\mathbf{k} + 1)$ times.

The Idea Example: Euler-Bernoulli Beam Deflection

Transverse deflection of a beam w(x) subject to distributed load, p(x)

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\left[E(x)I(x)\frac{d^2w(x)}{dx^2}\right]=p(x).$$

Here, we will assume a uniform beam — *i.e.* E(x) and I(x) are constant. For simplicity E(x)I(x) = 1.

We'll let the beam have length L = 1, and be fixed at the end points (like a book-shelf).

We use a non-uniform load function:

$$p(x) = e^{\frac{(x-L/2)^2}{(L/8)^2}}$$

The Idea Example: Euler-Bernoulli Beam Deflection

Shooting for Beam Bending: Equations

Our problem is:

$$\frac{d^4w(x)}{dx^4} = e^{\frac{(x-L/2)^2}{(L/8)^2}},$$

subject to

$$w(0) = w'(0) = w(1) = w'(1) = 0.$$

We introduce $y_i = \frac{d^{i-1}y(x)}{dx^{i-1}}$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and get the following system of ODEs:

$ \frac{d}{dx} \begin{bmatrix} y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_3 \\ y_4 \\ e^{\frac{(x-L/2)^2}{(L/8)^2}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{cases} y_2(0) = 0 \\ y_1(1) = 0 \\ y_2(1) = 0 \end{cases} $	$\frac{d}{dx}$	$ \begin{vmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{vmatrix} = $	$e^{\frac{y^2}{(L/8)^2}}$, {	$y_1(0) = 0$ $y_2(0) = 0$ $y_1(1) = 0$ $y_2(1) = 0$
---	----------------	--	---------------------------	-----	--

Peter Blomgren, $\langle \texttt{blomgren.peter@gmail.com} \rangle$ Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com **BVP: The Shooting Method, continued** - (17/31) **BVP:** The Shooting Method, continued - (18/31) Introduction Introduction The Idea The Idea Shooting for Systems of ODEs Shooting for Systems of ODEs Example: Euler-Bernoulli Beam Deflection Example: Euler-Bernoulli Beam Deflection Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting Code: RKF45 Shooting for Beam Bending Shooting for Beam Bending: IVP I/VCode: Shooting Segment #1 % Shooting for a uniform fixed Beam --- Octave Code [www.octave.org] We are going to solve the following IVP % E(x) = Constant, I(x) = Constantclear all $\frac{d}{dx}\begin{bmatrix} y_1\\y_2\\y_3\\y_4\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_2\\y_3\\y_4\\\frac{(x-L/2)^2}{(1/2)^2}\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 0\\y_2(0) = 0\\y_3(0) = A\\y_4(0) = B \end{cases}$ % Length of the Beam global L; L = 1;% The Load Function function p = p(x)global L and numerically determine the parameters A and B so that the $p = -exp(-(x-L/2).^{2}/(L/8)^{2});$ endfunction terminal conditions $y_1(1) = 0$ and $y_2(1) = 0$. % The Forcing Function of the System of ODEs function $rhs_rkf45 = rhs_rkf45(x,w)$ $rhs_rkf45 = [w(2:4); p(x)];$ endfunction Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com} **BVP: The Shooting Method, continued** — (19/31) Peter Blomgren, blomgren.peter@gmail.com **BVP: The Shooting Method, continued** (20/31)

Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting	Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting
Code: RKF45 Shooting for Beam Bending	Code: RKF45 Shooting for Beam Bending
Code: Shooting Segment #2 function $[y,xy] = RKF45(y0,x0,L)$ c = [0 1/4 3/8 12/13 1 1/2]; A = [0 0 0 0 0; 1/4 0 0 0 0; 3/32 9/32 0 0 0 0]; A = [A; 1932/2197 -7200/2197 7296/2197 0 0 0]; A = [A; 439/216 - 8 3680/513 - 845/4104 0 0]; b = [25/216 0 1408/2565 2197/4104 - 1/5 0]; b = [1/360 0 - 128/4275 - 2197/75240 1/50 2/55]; h = L/16; TOL = 1e-12; y = y0; yN = y0; xv = x0; x = x0; while($x < L$) if($x + b \le L$) h = L-x; end k = zeros(4,6); $k(:,1) = rhs_rkf45(x+h+c(2), yN+h+(A(1,:)+k.').');$ $k(:,2) = rhs_rkf45(x+h+c(2), yN+h+(A(2,:)+k.').');$ $k(:,4) = rhs_rkf45(x+h+c(3), yN+h+(A(2,:)+k.').');$ $k(:,5) = rhs_rkf45(x+h+c(6), yN+h+(A(6,:)+k.').');$ $k(:,6) = rhs_rkf45(x+h+c(6), yN+h+(A(6,:)+k.').');$	<pre>Code: Shooting Segment #3 vNext = yN + h*(b1*k.').'; yErr = h*(E*k.').'; yErrAbs = norm(yErr); if(yErrAbs < TOL) y = [y yNext]; yN = yNext; xv = [xv x+h]; x = x+h; if(yErrAbs*20 < TOL) h = h*2; end else h = h/2; end end endfunction</pre>
Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) BVP: The Shooting Method, continued — (21/31)	Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com} BVP: The Shooting Method, continued — (22/31)
Introduction Shooting for Systems of ODEs Alternative: "Purely" Numerical Shooting The Idea Example: Euler-Bernoulli Beam Deflection Code: RKF45 Shooting for Beam Bending IV/V	Code: RKF45 Shooting for Beam Bending
<pre>Code: NKK 45 Shooting for Deam Dending</pre>	Code: NRT 45 Shooting for Deall Dending Segment #5 wA0 = w0; wA0(3) = wA0(3) + Perturb; [y,xv] = RKF45(wA0,0,L); Y_perturb_w3 = y; Y_w3_final = y(:,length(xv)); wB0 = w0; wB0(4) = wB0(4) + Perturb; [y,xv] = RKF45(wB0,0,L); Y_perturb_w4 = y; Y_w4_final = y(:,length(xv)); J11 = (Y_w3_final(1)-Y_np_final(1)) / (Perturb) ; J12 = (Y_w4_final(1)-Y_np_final(1)) / (Perturb) ; J21 = (Y_w3_final(2)-Y_np_final(2)) / (Perturb) ; J21 = (Y_w4_final(2)-Y_np_final(2)) / (Perturb) ; J22 = (Y_w4_final(2)-Y_np_final(2)) / (Perturb) ; Ja = [J11 J12; J21 J22]; w0(3:4) = w0(3:4) - Ja\[W1_discr; W2_discr]; end w0? The Shoting Method continued



The Idea Example: Euler-Bernoulli Beam Deflection

Beam Bending: Numerical Results — w'''(x) — Shear Force



Shearing

Shearing (physics)

Shearing in continuum mechanics refers to the occurrence of a shear strain, which is a deformation of a material substance in which parallel internal surfaces slide past one another. It is induced by a shear stress in the material.

Often, the verb shearing refers more specifically to a mechanical process that causes a plastic shear strain in a material, rather than causing a merely elastic one. A plastic shear strain is a continuous (non-fracturing) deformation that is irreversible, such that the material does not recover its original shape. It occurs when the material is yielding.

The Idea Example: Euler-Bernoulli Beam Deflection

Wikipedia

Bending Moment

Bending Moment

A bending moment exists in a structural element when a moment is applied to the element so that the element bends. Moments and torques are measured as a force multiplied by a distance so they have as unit newton-metres $(N \cdot m)$, or foot-pounds force (ft·lbf).

Tensile stresses and compressive stresses increase proportionally with bending moment, but are also dependent on the second moment of area of the cross-section of the structural element. Failure in bending will occur when the bending moment is sufficient to induce tensile stresses greater than the yield stress of the material throughout the entire cross-section. It is possible that failure of a structural element in shear may occur before failure in bending, however the mechanics of failure in shear and in bending are different.

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) BVP: The Shooting Method, continued — (30/31)

Wikipedia