	Outline
Numerical Matrix Analysis Notes #18 — Eigenvalue Problems: Introduction	 Student Learning Targets, and Objectives SLOs: Eigenvalue Problems
Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) Department of Mathematics and Statistics Dynamical Systems Group Computational Sciences Research Center San Diego State University San Diego, CA 92182-7720 http://terminus.sdsu.edu/ Spring 2024 (Revised: March 26, 2024)	 2 Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Unitary Diagonalization 3 Eigenvalues Schur Factorization Algorithms
See Dires Statt Linvirsity	Star Direct Start University
Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction (1/28)	Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction — (2/28)
Student Learning Targets, and Objectives SLOs: Eigenvalue Problems	Eigenvalue Problems Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization
Student Learning Targets, and Objectives SLOs: Eigenvalue Problems Student Learning Targets, and Objectives	Eigenvalue Problems Introduction
	Eigenvalue Problems Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization

— (3/28)

— (4/28)

Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization	Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization
Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors	Eigenvalue Decomposition
Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be a square matrix. A non-zero vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is an eigenvector of A , and λ is the corresponding eigenvalue if $A\vec{x} = \lambda \vec{x}$.	The eigenvalue decomposition of a square matrix is the factorization $A = X\Lambda X^{-1},$
The set of all eigenvalues of a matrix A is the spectrum of A , commonly denoted by $\lambda(A)$, or $\Lambda(A)$.	where X is non-singular and Λ diagonal. To make the connection between eigenvalues and eigenvectors clear, this decomposition can be rewritten
The usefulness of eigenvalues and eigenvectors	$AX = X\Lambda.$
 Algorithmic Eigenvalue analysis can simplify solutions by reducing a coupled system to a collection of scalar problems. Physical Eigenvalue analysis can give insight to the behavior of evolving systems governed by linear equations, <i>e.g.</i> the study of resonance and stability of physical systems. 	$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \vec{x}_1 & \vec{x}_2 & \cdots & \vec{x}_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \vec{x}_1 & \vec{x}_2 & \cdots & \vec{x}_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & &$
Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction — (5/28)	Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction - (6/28)
Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization	Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization
Eigenvalue Decomposition: A Change of Basis	Eigenvalues: Geometric Multiplicity
The eigenvalue decomposition can be viewed as a <i>change of basis</i> [NOTES#3.4 (MATH 254)] to "eigenvector coordinates." In solving the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, with $A = X\Lambda X^{-1}$, $\Lambda(\underbrace{X^{-1}\vec{x}}_{\vec{y}}) = \underbrace{X^{-1}\vec{b}}_{\vec{c}}$ we • expand \vec{x} (implicitly) and \vec{b} (explicitly) in the basis \hat{x} given by the columns X ; • apply (solve with the diagonal) Λ ; and • interpret the result as a vector of coefficients $\vec{y} = [\vec{x}]_{\hat{x}}$ of a linear combination of the columns of X , so that $\vec{x} = X\vec{y}$.	The set of eigenvectors corresponding to a single eigenvalue, together with the zero-vector, form a subspace of \mathbb{C}^m known as an eigenspace . If $\lambda \in \Lambda(A)$, we denote the corresponding eigenspace by E_{λ} . An eigenspace E_{λ} is an example of an invariant subspace of A , <i>i.e.</i> $AE_{\lambda} \subseteq E_{\lambda}$. — Shorthand for $\vec{x} \in E_{\lambda} \Rightarrow A\vec{x} \in E_{\lambda}$. The dimension of E_{λ} can be interpreted as the maximum number of linearly independent eigenvectors that can be found corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . This is the geometric multiplicity [MATH 254] of λ , gm(λ). We note that $E_{\lambda} = \text{null}(A - \lambda I_{m \times m}).$ $\text{gm}(\lambda) = \dim(E_{\lambda})$

— (8/28)

Eigenvalue ProblemsIntroductionEigenvaluesUnitary Diagonalization	Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization
The Characteristic Polynomial ~> Eigenvalues	Eigenvalues: Algebraic Multiplicity
The characteristic polynomial of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, is the polynomial of degree <i>m</i> defined by $p_A(z) = \det(A - zI_{m \times m}).$ The following theorem is (hopefully) well-known Theorem (Eigenvalues are Roots of Characteristic Polynomial)	By the fundamental theorem of algebra, $p_A(z)$ can be factored $p_A(z) = c(z - \lambda_1)^{m_1} (z - \lambda_2)^{m_2} \cdots (z - \lambda_r)^{m_r}$, where $\sum_{k=1}^r m_k = m$.
λ is an eigenvalue of A if and only if $p_A(\lambda) = 0$.	k=1 The integers $m_k \geq 1$ indicate the algebraic multiplicity of the
We note that even if A is real, the eigenvalues may be complex.	eigenvalue $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$.
Further, we note that from previous discussion — recall Wilkinson's example in [NOTES#9] on the ill-conditioning of the root-finding problem.	The following is true
Looking for roots to the characteristic polynomial is not a stable way to	Algebraic multiplicity(λ_k) \geq Geometric multiplicity(λ_k)
identify eigenvalues!	This result comes from a discussion of similarity transformations .
Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction — (9/28)	
	Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction — (10/28)
Eigenvalue Problems Introduction (3/20) Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization	Eigenvalue Problems Introduction — (10/28) Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Unitary Diagonalization
Eigenvalue Problems Introduction	Eigenvalue Problems Introduction
Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization	Eigenvalue Problems Eigenvalues Introduction Unitary Diagonalization Similarity Transformations The proof of the theorem is very straight-forward:
Eigenvalue Problems Eigenvalues Introduction Unitary Diagonalization Similarity Transformations	Eigenvalue Problems Eigenvalues Introduction Unitary Diagonalization Similarity Transformations
Eigenvalue Problems EigenvaluesIntroduction Unitary DiagonalizationSimilarity TransformationsIf $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is non-singular, then the map	Eigenvalue Problems EigenvaluesIntroduction Unitary DiagonalizationSimilarity TransformationsThe proof of the theorem is very straight-forward: $p_{X^{-1}AX}(z) = det(X^{-1}AX - zI) = det(X^{-1}(A - zI)X)$ $= det(X^{-1}) det(A - zI) det(X)$ $= det(A - zI) = p_A(z)$.Since $p_{X^{-1}AX}(z) = p_A(z)$ the agreement on eigenvalues, and algebraic
Eigenvalue Problems EigenvaluesIntroduction Unitary DiagonalizationSimilarity TransformationsIf $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is non-singular, then the map $A \mapsto X^{-1}AX$,is called a similarity transformation of A. Two matrices A and B are similar if there exists a non-singular $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that	Eigenvalue Problems EigenvaluesIntroduction Unitary DiagonalizationSimilarity TransformationsThe proof of the theorem is very straight-forward: $p_{X^{-1}AX}(z) = \det(X^{-1}AX - zI) = \det(X^{-1}(A - zI)X)$ $= \det(X^{-1}) \det(A - zI) \det(X)$ $= \det(A - zI) = p_A(z).$
Eigenvalue Problems EigenvaluesIntroduction Unitary DiagonalizationSimilarity TransformationsIf $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is non-singular, then the map $A \mapsto X^{-1}AX$,is called a similarity transformation of A. Two matrices A and B are similar if there exists a non-singular $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $B = X^{-1}AX$.	Eigenvalue Problems EigenvaluesIntroduction Unitary DiagonalizationSimilarity TransformationsThe proof of the theorem is very straight-forward: $p_{X^{-1}AX}(z) = \det(X^{-1}AX - zI) = \det(X^{-1}(A - zI)X)$ $= \det(X^{-1}) \det(A - zI) \det(X)$ $= \det(A - zI) = p_A(z).$ Since $p_{X^{-1}AX}(z) = p_A(z)$ the agreement on eigenvalues, and algebraic multiplicities follow. The agreement of geometric multipliers follows from the fact that if E_{λ} is an eigenspace for A , then $X^{-1}E_{\lambda}$ is an eigenspace
Eigenvalue Problems EigenvaluesIntroduction Unitary DiagonalizationSimilarity TransformationsIf $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is non-singular, then the map $A \mapsto X^{-1}AX$,is called a similarity transformation of A. Two matrices A and B are similar if there exists a non-singular $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that $B = X^{-1}AX$.We care about similarity transformations because:	Eigenvalue Problems EigenvaluesIntroduction Unitary DiagonalizationSimilarity TransformationsThe proof of the theorem is very straight-forward: $p_{X^{-1}AX}(z) = det(X^{-1}AX - zI) = det(X^{-1}(A - zI)X)$ $= det(X^{-1}) det(A - zI) det(X)$ $= det(A - zI) = p_A(z).$ Since $p_{X^{-1}AX}(z) = p_A(z)$ the agreement on eigenvalues, and algebraic multiplicities follow. The agreement of geometric multipliers follows from the fact that if E_{λ} is an eigenspace for A , then $X^{-1}E_{\lambda}$ is an eigenspace for $X^{-1}AX$, and conversely. \Box

— (11/28)

— (12/28)

Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization	Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization
Proof: Algebraic multiplicity \geq Geometric multiplicity	Defective (Non-Diagonalizable) Matrices 1 of 2
Let <i>n</i> be the geometric multiplicity of λ for the matrix <i>A</i> . Form an $(m \times n)$ matrix \hat{V} whose <i>n</i> columns constitute an orthonormal basis of E_{λ} . Let <i>V</i> be the square unitary matrix whose first <i>n</i> columns are given by \hat{V} , and define <i>B</i> by $B = V^*AV = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{n \times n} & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (m-n)}, D \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-n) \times (m-n)}.$ By the properties of the determinant, $\det(B - zI_{m \times m}) = \det((\lambda - z)I_{n \times n}) \det(D - zI_{(m-n) \times (m-n)})$ $= (\lambda - z)^n \det(D - zI_{(m-n) \times (m-n)}).$ Hence, the algebraic multiplicity of λ as an eigenvalue of <i>B</i> is at least <i>n</i> . Since similarity transformations preserve multiplicities, the same is true for <i>A</i> . \Box	When Algebraic multiplicity > Geometric multiplicity, the matrix is not diagonalizable. Consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$ Both <i>A</i> and <i>B</i> have $\lambda = 2$ with algebraic multiplicity 3. For <i>A</i> we can choose 3 linearly independent eigenvectors, but for <i>B</i> there is only one linearly independent eigenvector $\vec{x}_{A_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x}_{A_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \\ 1 & \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x}_{A_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \\ 0 & \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x}_{B_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix}$ Geometric multiplicities of $\lambda = 2$ are 3 (for <i>A</i>) and 1 (for <i>B</i>).
Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction — (13/28)	Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction — (14/28)
Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization	Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization
Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization Defective (Non-Diagonalizable) Matrices 2 of 2 An eigenvalue whose algebraic multiplicity exceeds its geometric multiplicity, is a defective eigenvalue. A matrix that has one or more defective eigenvalues is a defective matrix.	Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization
Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization Defective (Non-Diagonalizable) Matrices 2 of 2 An eigenvalue whose algebraic multiplicity exceeds its geometric multiplicity, is a defective eigenvalue. A matrix that has one or	EigenvaluesUnitary DiagonalizationSpecial Cases: Unitary DiagonalizationIn rare circumstances, we come across a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ whose m eigenvectors not only are linearly independent, but also
Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization Defective (Non-Diagonalizable) Matrices 2 of 2 An eigenvalue whose algebraic multiplicity exceeds its geometric multiplicity, is a defective eigenvalue. A matrix that has one or more defective eigenvalues is a defective matrix. A non-defective matrix is diagonalizable —	Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization Special Cases: Unitary Diagonalization In rare circumstances, we come across a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ whose m eigenvectors not only are linearly independent, but also orthogonal. In this case A is unitarily diagonalizable, <i>i.e.</i> there exists a
Eigenvalues Ditary Diagonalization 2 of 2 An eigenvalue whose algebraic multiplicity exceeds its geometric multiplicity, is a defective eigenvalue. A matrix that has one or more defective eigenvalues is a defective matrix. A non-defective matrix is diagonalizable — Theorem An (m × m) matrix A is non-defective if and only if it has an eigenvalue decomposition $A = X \wedge X^{-1}$. This result quantifies for what matrices the diagonalization is (theoretically) computable. — The matrix X may be highly	Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization Special Cases: Unitary Diagonalization In rare circumstances, we come across a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ whose m eigenvectors not only are linearly independent, but also orthogonal. In this case A is unitarily diagonalizable, <i>i.e.</i> there exists a unitary matrix Q such that
Defective (Non-Diagonalizable) Matrices 2 of 2 An eigenvalue whose algebraic multiplicity exceeds its geometric multiplicity, is a defective eigenvalue. A matrix that has one or more defective eigenvalues is a defective matrix. A non-defective eigenvalues is a defective matrix. A non-defective matrix is diagonalizable — Image: Defective defective and the second seco	Eigenvalues Special Cases: Unitary Diagonalization In rare circumstances, we come across a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ whose m eigenvectors not only are linearly independent, but also orthogonal. In this case A is unitarily diagonalizable , <i>i.e.</i> there exists a unitary matrix Q such that $A = Q \Lambda Q^*$.
Eigenvalues Ditary Diagonalization 2 of 2 An eigenvalue whose algebraic multiplicity exceeds its geometric multiplicity, is a defective eigenvalue. A matrix that has one or more defective eigenvalues is a defective matrix. A non-defective matrix is diagonalizable — Theorem An (m × m) matrix A is non-defective if and only if it has an eigenvalue decomposition $A = X \wedge X^{-1}$. This result quantifies for what matrices the diagonalization is (theoretically) computable. — The matrix X may be highly	Eigenvalues Special Cases: Unitary Diagonalization In rare circumstances, we come across a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ whose m eigenvectors not only are linearly independent, but also orthogonal. In this case A is unitarily diagonalizable , <i>i.e.</i> there exists a unitary matrix Q such that $A = Q \Lambda Q^*$. Since $ Q _2 = 1$, there is no ill-conditioning to worry about.

Eigenvalue Problems Introduction Eigenvalues Unitary Diagonalization	Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization Eigenvalues Algorithms
Unitarily Diagonalizable Matrices	The Schur Factorization Eigenvalues Only!
 Theorem (A* = A ⇒ Real Diagonalizable) A Hermitian matrix is unitarily diagonalizable, and its eigenvalues are real. Other example of unitarily diagonalizable matrices include Skew-Hermitian matrices, S* = -S. Unitary matrices, U* = U⁻¹, U*U = I. Circulant matrices, C, whose rows are composed of cyclically shifted versions of a length-n list l. Any of the above plus a multiple of the identity. These types of matrices are all normal, <i>i.e.</i> M*M = MM*. 	If we are interested in numerically computing the eigenvalues only, then the Schur factorization is the most useful approach. The Schur factorization of a matrix A is a unitary factorization $A = QTQ^*$, where Q is unitary, and T is upper triangular. Since this is a similarity transform, the eigenvalues of A must appear on the diagonal of T .
Theorem (AA* = A*A ⇒ Complex Diagonalizable) A matrix is unitarily complex diagonalizable if and only if it is normal. [COMPLEX/REAL SPECTRAL THEOREM (MATH 524 NOTES#7.1)]. Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction — (17/28) Eigenvalue Problems Eigenvalues	Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction (18/28) Eigenvalue Problems Eigenvalues Schur Factorization Algorithms
The Schur Factorization Eigenvalues Only! The following theorem indicates why this is a useful approach — Theorem Every square matrix A has a Schur factorization. Hence it should be possible to compute the eigenvalues for any matrix, without having to worry about ill-conditioning in the X (here Q) matrix which defines the similarity transformation.	The Schur Factorization: Existence Proof 1 of 2 The proof is by induction. The base case $m = 1$ is trivially true. Let $m \ge 2$ [the inductive hypothesis says that there exists a Schur factorization of all $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ matrices], and let (λ, \vec{x}) be any eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of A . Let $\vec{u}_1 = \vec{x}/ \vec{x} _2$ be the first column of a unitary matrix U . Then by construction, $U^*AU = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & B\\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$, where $B \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times (m-1)}$, and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-1) \times (m-1)}$. Now, by the induction hypothesis $C = VTV^*$ for some unitary $V \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-1) \times (m-1)}$, and upper-triangular $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-1) \times (m-1)}$. Therefore we can define $Q = U \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ V \end{bmatrix}$.

— (19/28)

Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction

— (20/28)

 Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu)
 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction

Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization	Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization
Eigenvalues Algorithms The Schur Factorization: Existence Proof 2 of 2	Eigenvalues Algorithms Eigenvalue-Revealing Factorizations
$Q \text{ is unitary, and}$ $Q^*AQ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & V^* \end{bmatrix} U^*AU \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & V \end{bmatrix}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & V^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & V \end{bmatrix}$	We have described three eigenvalue-revealing factorizationsTypeFormRestrictions on AVectorsDiagonalization $A = X\Lambda X^{-1}$ Non-defective $$ Unitary Diagonalization $A = Q\Lambda Q^*$ Normal, $A^*A = AA^*$ $$ Schur Triangularization $A = QTQ^*$ None $$ Note that the diagonalizations also give the eigenvectors, whereas the
$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ V^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & BV \\ 0 & CV \end{bmatrix}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & BV \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$ which is the Schur factorization we want. \Box Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction $-(21/28)$	 eigenvector information is lost in the Schur triangularization. Factorizations based on unitary transformations tend to lead to algorithms that are numerically stable. If A is normal, then the Schur form comes out diagonal; and if we know that A is Hermitian we can take advantage of the symmetry in order to save (approximately) half the work.
Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization	
	Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization
Eigenvalues: Algorithms Computing Eigenvalues: Algorithms 1 of 2	Eigenvalue Schur Factorization Algorithms 2 of 2
Eigenvalues Algorithms	Eigenvalues Algorithms

— (23/28)

— (24/28)

Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization Eigenvalues Algorithms	Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization Eigenvalues Algorithms
The Difficulty of Eigenvalue Computations 1 of 3	The Difficulty of Eigenvalue Computations 2 of 3
We have seen that we can cast the eigenvalue problem as a root-finding problem (subject to potentially catastrophic ill-conditioning). Conversely, any polynomial root-finding problem can be stated as an eigenvalue problem, e.g. given the polynomial $p(z) = z^m + a_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + a_1z + a_0,$ we can write $p(z) = (-1)^m \cdot \det(A - zI)$, where $A - zI = \begin{bmatrix} -z & -a_0 \\ 1 & -z & -a_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	Therefore the roots of $p(z)$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $ \begin{array}{c} $
Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction	Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction
Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization Eigenvalues Algorithms	Eigenvalue Problems Schur Factorization Eigenvalues Algorithms
The Difficulty of Eigenvalue Computations 3 of 3	A Different Angle of Attack
Theorem (Abel-Ruffini Theorem) For any m ≥ 5, there is a polynomial p(z) of degree m with rational coefficients that has a real root p(r) = 0 with the property that r cannot be written using any expression involving rational numbers, addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and kth roots. This theorem seems to spell out a lot of gloom-and-doom: even in exact arithmetic, there can be no computer program that produces the exact roots of an arbitrary polynomial in a finite number of steps. The theorem is named after Paolo Ruffini, who provided an incomplete proof in 1799, and Niels Henrik Abel, who provided a proof in 1824. (Galois later proved more general statements, and provided a construction of a polynomial of degree 5 whose roots cannot be expressed in radicals from its coefficients.)	The preceding discussion does not mean that we cannot generate a good eigenvalue solver. It does, however, indicate that we have to think "outside the box" (where the box is our present toolbox of algorithms). Gaussian elimination and Householder reflections would solve linear systems of equations exactly in a finite number of steps if they could be implemented in exact arithmetic. However: Fact My eigenvalue solver must be iterative. We are going to generate sequences of numbers converging rapidly toward the eigenvalues. — The need for iterations may seem discouraging; however, in most cases we can define schemes that converge very rapidly — doubling or tripling the number of digits of accuracy in each iteration.
Set Dimonstration Control Stration Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction — (27/28)	Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 18. Eigenvalue Problems, Introduction