# Numerical Matrix Analysis Notes #22 — Eigenvalues Computing the Singular Value Decomposition

Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Dynamical Systems Group Computational Sciences Research Center San Diego State University San Diego, CA 92182-7720

http://terminus.sdsu.edu/

Spring 2024 (Revised: April 16, 2024)



22. Computing the Singular Value Decomposition -(1/25)

SAN DIEGO STAT

# Outline



- Flashback
- The QR-Algorithm with Shifts
- 2 The Big Prize Computing the SVD
  - $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$
  - Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$
- **3** The Computation, Phase 1
  - Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization
  - Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization, when  $m \gg n$
  - Hybrid LHC/GK 3-Step Bidiagonalization
- 4 The Computation, Phase 2
  - OLD: QR-Like Algorithm, NEW: Divide-and-Conquer
  - Divide-and-Conquer
  - Implementations



### Flashback

The Big Prize — Computing the SVD The Computation, Phase 1 The Computation, Phase 2

The QR-Algorithm with Shifts

# Last Time: The QR-Algorithm with Shifts

Starting from the pure QR-Algorithm, which converges linearly, we made a number of critical connections with three other algorithms:

- 1. Inverse Iteration
- 2. Shifted Inverse Iteration
- 3. Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

Adding the tie-breaking Wilkinson shift, we were able to define an algorithm which diagonalizes a real symmetric matrix with **cubic convergence** in general, and **quadratic convergence** in the worst case.

We describe the algorithm to the point where we can quickly identify **one** eigenvalue/eigenvector pair. **Deflation**, *i.e.* further sub-division of the problem is necessary to identify the full diagonalization.

**Ref:** Watkins, D.S., 2008. *The QR Algorithm Revisited*. SIAM review, 50(1), pp.133-145.

#### Flashback

The Big Prize — Computing the SVD The Computation, Phase 1 The Computation, Phase 2

The QR-Algorithm with Shifts

The Core QR-Algorithm with Wilkinson Shift

Algorithm (The QR-Algorithm with Wilkinson Shifts)  $\mathbf{A}^{(0)} = \text{hessenberg_form}(\mathbf{A})$ for k = 1:... Select  $\mu_{\mathtt{W}}^{(k)} = a_m - \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\delta)b_{m-1}^2}{|\delta| + \sqrt{\delta^2 + b_{m-1}^2}}, \quad \delta = \frac{a_{m-1} - a_m}{2}$   $\left[\mathbf{Q}^{(k)}, \mathbf{R}^{(k)}\right] = \operatorname{qr}\left(\mathbf{A}^{(k-1)} - \mu_{\mathtt{W}}^{(k)}\mathbf{I}\right)$   $\mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \mathbf{R}^{(k)}\mathbf{Q}^{(k)} + \mu_{\mathtt{W}}^{(k)}\mathbf{I}$ endfor

Where,

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{m-1} & b_{m-1} \\ b_{m-1} & a_m \end{array}\right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_{\text{(m-1):m, (m-1):m}}$$

SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY

#### Flashback

The Big Prize — Computing the SVD The Computation, Phase 1 The Computation, Phase 2

The QR-Algorithm with Shifts

# Result for Symmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2048 \times 2048}$

### "Peel-Off Deflation"

SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY



Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu)

22. Computing the Singular Value Decomposition -(5/25)

 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

Computing the SVD

Computing the SVD in a **stable** way is non-trivial.

Formally, computation of the SVD can be reduced to an eigenvalue decomposition of a Hermitian square matrix, but the most obvious approach is unstable. (Which is not stopping some people from using it...)

Better informed individuals base their SVD computations on a different form of reduction to Hermitian form. As with diagonalizations, **for maximum efficiency** SVD computations are usually done in two phases.



 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

Singular Values of A and Eigenvalues of  $A^*A$ 

We know that every matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  has a singular value decomposition  $A = U \Sigma V^*$ , and hence

$$A^*A = V\Sigma^*\Sigma V^* = V \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_n^2) V^*.$$

Since  $A^*A$  and  $\operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_n^2)$  are related by a similarity transformation, we must have that  $\lambda_i(A^*A) = \sigma_i^2$ . Thus, in **infinite** precision the algorithm is clear:

Do-Not-Use-Algorithm (SVD in Infinite Precision)

- 1. Form *A*\**A*.
- 2. Compute the eigenvalue decomposition  $A^*A = V\Lambda V^*$ .
- 3. Let  $\Sigma = \sqrt{\Lambda}$ , zero-padded to  $(m \times n)$ .
- 4. Solve  $U\Sigma = AV$  for unitary U, via QR-factorization.



 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

Singular Values of A and Eigenvalues of  $A^*A$ 

The algorithm described is unstable since it reduces the SVD to an eigenvalue problem which may be **extremely sensitive to perturbations** — due to ill-conditioning; here  $\kappa(A^*A) = (\sigma_1/\sigma_n)^2$ .

However, this algorithm is used quite frequently; usually by someone who has "rediscovered" the SVD; — even though it has many names: the Proper Orthogonal Decomposition, the Karhunen-Loève (KL-) Decomposition, Principal Component Analysis, Empirical Orthogonal Functions, etc..., the SVD keeps getting "rediscovered."



2 of 5

Flashback The Big Prize — Computing the SVD  $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ The Computation, Phase 1 Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ The Computation, Phase 2 Rewind — [NOTES #4]Hits on scholar.google.com  $imes 10^4$ 12-POD EOF 10 -KL -CCA Number of Hits 8 6 4 2C 200020052010 20152020 Figure: The many names, faces, and close relatives of the Singular Value

Decomposition... Number of hits for "Proper.Orthogonal.Decomposition", "Empirical.Orthogonal.(Function|Functions)", "Karhunen.Loeve", "Canonical.Correlation.Analysis"

Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu)



Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu)

 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

# Rewind — [NOTES #4]

Hits on scholar.google.com



Figure: The many names, faces, and close relatives of the Singular Value
Decomposition... Number of hits for "Proper.Orthogonal.Decomposition",
"Empirical.Orthogonal.(Function|Functions)", "Karhunen.Loeve",
"Canonical.Correlation.Analysis", "Singular.Value.Decomposition",
"Principal.Component.Analysis"

Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu)

 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

Singular Values of A and Eigenvalues of  $A^*A$ 

The matrix  $A^*A$  has familiar and useful interpretations in many fields.

It shows up in linear least squares, as the normal equations, and also in the general orthogonal projector,  $P = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*$  built from a non-orthogonal matrix. Further, in statistics and other fields, it (or something very much like it) is known as the **co-variance matrix**.

Bottom Line

There are many tempting reasons to form  $A^*A$ ...

 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

Singular Values of A and Eigenvalues of  $A^*A$ 

We can quantify the instability.

When the Hermitian matrix  $A^*A$  is perturbed by  $\delta B$ , the following holds for the perturbation of the eigenvalues

$$|\lambda_k (A^*A + \delta B) - \lambda_k (A^*A)| \le \|\delta B\|_2$$

A similar bound holds for the perturbation of the singular values

$$|\sigma_k(A+\delta A)-\sigma_k(A)|\leq \|\delta A\|_2.$$

A backward stable SVD algorithm must give  $\tilde{\sigma}_k$  satisfying

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k = \sigma_k(A + \delta A), \quad \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_{\text{mach}}),$$

which implies

$$|\tilde{\sigma}_k - \sigma_k| = \mathcal{O}(||A|| \varepsilon_{\text{mach}}).$$

Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu) 22. Computing the Singular Value Decomposition — (11/25)

4 of 5

 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

Singular Values of A and Eigenvalues of  $A^*A$ 

Now, consider  $\tilde{\lambda}_k(A^*A)$ ... If computed using a backward stable algorithm, we expect

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_k - \lambda_k| = \mathcal{O}(\|A^*A\|arepsilon_{\mathsf{mach}}) = \mathcal{O}(\|A\|^2arepsilon_{\mathsf{mach}}).$$

Since  $\sigma_k = \sqrt{\lambda_k}$  we get

$$|\tilde{\sigma}_k - \sigma_k| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|\tilde{\lambda}_k - \lambda_k|}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\|A\|^2 \varepsilon_{\mathsf{mach}}}{\sigma_k}\right).$$

This result is off by a factor of  $\frac{\|A\|}{\sigma_k}$ , which is OK for the dominant singular values, but a disaster for small singular values  $\sigma_k \ll \|A\|$ , in this case we expect a loss of accuracy of order  $\kappa(A)$ . In a sense we are "squaring the condition number," much like in the least squares case.

 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

Toward a Correct, Stable, Approach...

Given  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ , consider (intellectually) the Hermitian matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^* \\ \hline A & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & V\Sigma U^* \\ \hline U\Sigma V^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can now write the eigenvalue decomposition of H

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^* \\ \hline A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V & V \\ \hline U & -U \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V & V \\ \hline U & -U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -\Sigma \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that from the eigenvalue decomposition of H, we can identify the singular values and singular vectors of A.

Many SVD computations are (implicitly) based on / derived from this observation. We never explicitly form H, and are thus not constrained by the requirement that A is square.



 $\sigma(A)$  and  $\lambda(A^*A)$ Stable Approach for  $\sigma(A)$ 

### The Two Phases of SVD Computation



The **Bi-Diagonalization** in **Phase 1** requires a finite number of operations  $\sim O(mn^2)$ .

The **Diagonalization** in **Phase 2** is done iteratively, and requires "infinitely many" operations. In practice  $O(n^2)$  operations are sufficient to identify the singular values.



#### Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization

Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization, when  $m \gg n$  Hybrid LHC/GK 3-Step Bidiagonalization

# Phase 1: Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization

Phase-1-Bidiagonalization (for the SVD) is very similar to Phase-1-Hessenberg-transformation (for the QR-algorithm); the main difference here is that we are **not** constrained to a similarity transform, and hence we can apply a different sequence of unitary transforms from the left and right.



Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu)

22. Computing the Singular Value Decomposition -(15/25)

1 of 2

AN DIEGO STATE

#### Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization

Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization, when  $m \gg n$  Hybrid LHC/GK 3-Step Bidiagonalization

# Phase 1: Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization

The unitary matrices  $U_i$  are built from full Householder reflectors, and  $V_i$  are built from "one-short" reflectors (like in the Hessenberg transformation algorithm)

Essentially, this is a QR-factorization from the right and the left, so the total work ends up being

Work 
$$\sim \left(4mn^2 - \frac{4}{3}n^3\right).$$

Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization, when  $m \gg n$ Hybrid LHC/GK 3-Step Bidiagonalization

### Faster Methods for Phase 1

When  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \gg n$ , Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization is wasteful. In this case, a QR-factorization of A, followed by a the Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization of R is better



*i.e.*  $A \rightarrow Q^*A \rightarrow U^*Q^*AV$ . This is known as the Lawson-Hanson-Chan bidiagonalization, and it requires

Work 
$$\sim \left(2mn^2+2n^3
ight)$$
 .



Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization, when  $m \gg n$ Hybrid LHC/GK 3-Step Bidiagonalization

### Golub-Kahan vs. Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization



**Figure:** Comparing the work for Golub-Kahan and Lawson-Hanson-Chan bidiagonalization. The break-even point is  $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{5}{3}$ .

Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization, when  $m \gg n$  Hybrid LHC/GK 3-Step Bidiagonalization

# A Hybrid 3-Step Method

It is possible to define a hybrid algorithm, which switches from Golub-Kahan to Lawson-Hanson-Chan bidiagonalization at the optimal point. We end up with a 3-step method, pictorially defined by



We perform Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization for k steps, until  $\frac{m-k}{n-k} = 2$ , and then perform Lawson-Hanson-Chan bidiagonalization to the remaining, non-diagonalized part of the matrix.



Golub-Kahan Bidiagonalization Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization, when  $m\gg n$  Hybrid LHC/GK 3-Step Bidiagonalization

Hybrid Golub-Kahan / Lawson-Hanson-Chan Bidiagonalization



**Figure:** The work for the hybrid method is  $\sim (4mn^2 - \frac{4}{3}n^3 - \frac{2}{3}(m-n)^3)$ , and provides a small improvement in the range n < m < 2n.

Peter Blomgren (blomgren@sdsu.edu)

22. Computing the Singular Value Decomposition — (20/25)

OLD: QR-Like Algorithm, NEW: Divide-and-Conquer Divide-and-Conquer Implementations

SAN DIEGO STAT

## Computing the SVD: Phase 2

Until recently (1990's), the standard approach to Phase 2 was a variant of the QR-algorithm, applied to the bidiagonal matrix generated during phase 1. *E.g.* Lapack's sgesvd, cgesvd, dgesvd, and zgesvd.

More recently, **divide-and-conquer** algorithms, based on subdivision into smaller subproblems have gained favor in the computational community.

For instance Lapack's sgesdd, cgesdd, dgesdd, and zgesdd algorithms are based on this paradigm.

One main advantage of this approach is that it can be parallelized, and thus phase 2 can be computed very rapidly in a multi-core environment. Implementations in *e.g.* ScaLAPACK, cuSOLVER.

**Old-school computing:** Variable names in Fortran-77 consist of 1-6 characters chosen from the letters a-z and the digits 0-9. The first character must be a letter. Fortran-77 does not distinguish between upper and lower case, in fact, it assumes all input is upper case.

OLD: QR-Like Algorithm, NEW: Divide-and-Conquer Divide-and-Conquer Implementations

Divide-and-Conquer: Vigorous Hand-waving

In essence divide-and-conquer works like this: We want to compute the diagonalization of *B*, which we decompose into three parts  $B = B_1 + B_2 + \delta B$ , where rank $(\delta B) = 1$ :

Now, the diagonalization of the  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  blocks are computed (using the same strategy), then we (iteratively) correct for the rank-1 perturbation

$$\begin{bmatrix} & \Sigma(B_1) & & \\ & & * & \\ & & & \Sigma(B_2) \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} & & \Sigma(B) & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

OLD: QR-Like Algorithm, NEW: Divide-and-Conquer Divide-and-Conquer Implementations

Phase 2 Implementations

We leave phase 2 implementations as suggested projects.

- Phase 2 implementation based on the QR-algorithm is quite straight-forward.
- Phase 2 implementation based on the divide-and-conquer paradigm requires careful consideration of all the "bookkeeping" details. While not necessarily more difficult in a mathematical sense, the practical implementation of this approach is more challenging.
- The implementations in the referenced libraries: Lapack, ScaLAPACK, and cuSOLVER are thousands of lines long.

OLD: QR-Like Algorithm, NEW: Divide-and-Conquer Divide-and-Conquer Implementations

Phase 2 Implementations in the "Wild"

- LAPACK's dbdsqr/zbdsqr implements an iterative variant of the QR algorithm
  - "Calculating the Singular Values and Pseudo-Inverse of a Matrix", G.
     Golub and W. Kahan, Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied
     Mathematics Series B Numerical Analysis, Volume 2, Issue 2, pp.205-224.
     (1964). https://doi.org/10.1137/0702016
  - "Accurate Singular Values of Bidiagonal Matrices", James Demmel and W. Kahan, SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing, Volume 11, Issue 5, pp.873–912. (1990). https://doi.org/10.1137/0911052

SOURCE: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Singular\_value\_decomposition#Numerical\_approach REFERENCE: http://www.netlib.org/lapack/explore-html/d0/da6/ group\_\_complex16\_o\_t\_h\_e\_rcomputational\_gae7f455622680c22921ba25be440a726f.html

OLD: QR-Like Algorithm, NEW: Divide-and-Conquer Divide-and-Conquer Implementations

Phase 2 Implementations in the "Wild"

- The GNU Scientific Library (GSL) also implements an alternative approach: a one-sided Jacobi orthogonalization; the SVD of the bidiagonal matrix is obtained by solving a sequence of (2 × 2) SVD problems, similar to how the Jacobi eigenvalue algorithm solves a sequence of (2 × 2) eigenvalue methods
  - "Matrix Computations" 4th edition, Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan. Johns Hopkins University Press (2013). §8.6.3—"The SVD Algorithm"; §8.6.4—"Jacobi SVD Procedures"

SOURCE: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Singular\_value\_decomposition#Numerical\_approach

