Numerical Optimization

Lecture Notes #13 — Practical Newton Methods
Inexact Newton Steps / Linesearch Newton Methods

Peter Blomgren,
⟨blomgren.peter@gmail.com⟩

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Dynamical Systems Group
Computational Sciences Research Center
San Diego State University
San Diego, CA 92182-7720

http://terminus.sdsu.edu/

Fall 2018

Outline

SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY

Quick Recap: Nonlinear Conjugate Gradient Methods

Extension of the linear CG to work for non-linear (optimization) problems.

In the first pass (Fletcher-Reeves' Algorithm), we simply replaced all instances of the residual $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k$ by the gradient of the objective $\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$, and the step length α_k is calculated by a linesearch.

We looked at some modifications, and arrived at the Polak-Ribière `PR+CG` algorithm, where the β of Fletcher-Reeves is modified

$$\beta_{k+1}^{\mathrm{FR}} = \frac{\nabla f_{k+1}^T \nabla f_{k+1}}{\nabla f_k^T \nabla f_k} \quad \rightarrow \quad \beta_{\mathbf{k+1}}^{\mathrm{PR}} = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k+1}}^{\mathbf{T}} (\nabla \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k+1}} - \nabla \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}})}{\nabla \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{T}} \nabla \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}}$$

and the final β is $\beta_{k+1}^{+} = \max(\beta_{k+1}^{\mathrm{PR}}, 0)$.

Finally, periodic restarting, when

$$\frac{\nabla f_k^T \nabla f_{k-1}}{\nabla f_k^T \nabla f_k} \geq \nu \sim 0.1$$

was introduced in order to ensure good convergence.

San Diego State University

Practical Newton Methods: Introduction

We know (*e.g.* from LECTURE #5) that Newton's method has great local convergence properties. Once we get close to the minimizer $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*$ convergence is **quadratic**.

This convergence requires that we start "close enough" to $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*$ — in regions far away, where the objective is not convex, all bets are off and the behavior can be quite erratic; we cannot guarantee convergence at all!

Our present goal:

$\Rightarrow$ To design a Newton-based method which is robust and efficient in "all" cases.

SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY

The Newton Step

We get the Newton step from the symmetric $n \times n$ linear system

$$\textbf{The Newton Direction:} \qquad \nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\bar{\mathbf{p}}_\mathbf{k}^\mathbf{N} = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$$

For **global convergence** the Newton direction must be a **descent direction**, this is true if the Hessian ($\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$) is **positive definite**.

If the Hessian is not positive definite, the Newton direction may be an **ascent direction** and/or extremely long (division by almost zero).

We look at two approaches: The first uses the conjugate gradient method, and gives us the **"Newton-CG"** methods for both line-search and trust-region methods; the second strategy involves modifying the Hessian so that it becomes *"sufficiently positive definite,"* yielding the **"modified Newton method."**

Computational Cost

As always, we want to keep the computational cost down.

In Newton-CG, this is accomplished by terminating the computation before an exact solution to

$$\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^N = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k),$$

has been found. Thus we get and **approximation** $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k \approx \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^N$, hence the name **"inexact Newton methods."**

We would like to exploit any special **sparsity structure** in the Hessian in order to solve the linear problem as efficiently as possible.

For now, we assume we **have** access to the Hessian in **analytical** form. We will cover this final issue soon.

Inexact Newton Steps

If we settle for an inexact (approximate) solution of

$$\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^N = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k),$$

then we need a measure of how close our approximate solution $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k$ is to the exact Newton direction... Another use of the **residual**

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k = \nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k + \nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k).$$

Usually we do not want the termination condition for the inexact solution to depend on the size of f, hence are interested in the **relative size** of the residual, and say that an approximate solution is good enough when

Termination criterion: $\|\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k\| \leq \eta_k \|\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\|, \quad \eta_k \in (0, 1)$

The sequence $\{\eta_k\}$ is known as a **forcing sequence**.

The Forcing Sequence: Convergence

Selection of the forcing sequence greatly impacts how fast the overall algorithm converges, as illustrated in the following results:

Theorem

Suppose that the gradient $\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}})$ is continuously differentiable in a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of a minimizer $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*$, and assume that the Hessian $\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*)$ is positive definite. Consider the iteration $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k+1} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k + \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k$ where $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k(\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k)$ satisfies

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k\| \leq \eta_k \|\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\|, \quad \eta_k \in (0,1)$$

and assume that $\eta_k \leq \eta$ for some $\eta \in [0,1)$. Then, if the starting point $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_0$ is sufficiently near $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*$, the sequence $\{\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k\}$ converges to $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*$ linearly. That is, for all sufficiently large k we have

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k+1} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^*\| \leq c\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^*\|$$

for some constant $c \in (0,1)$.

The Forcing Sequence: Convergence

The preceding theorem is neither very exiting, nor very useful (on its own).

The restriction on the forcing sequence is very mild, we are basically just requiring that we make *some* progress in solving the linear system

$$\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^N = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k).$$

Likewise, the result — **linear convergence** — is good news, but hardly anything that causes us to throw a party!

However, by **carefully selecting** the forcing sequence we get a slightly more exciting result...

San Diego State University

The Forcing Sequence: Convergence

Theorem

Suppose that the conditions of the previous theorem hold, and assume that the iterates $\{\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k\}$ *generated by the inexact Newton method converge to* $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*$*. Then the rate of convergence is* **superlinear** *if* $\eta_k \to 0$*, and* **quadratic** *if* $\eta_k = \mathcal{O}(\|\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\|)$.

Now we know exactly how hard we have to work at solving the linear systems in order to achieve certain convergence rates, *e.g.*

$$\eta_k = \min\left(10^{-3}, \sqrt{\|\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\|}\right) \quad \textbf{Superlinear Convergence}$$

$$\eta_k = \min\left(10^{-3}, \|\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\|\right) \quad \textbf{Quadratic Convergence}$$

Note: These results are still **local** — we still have to figure out how to make our algorithms work if not started "close" to $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*$.

Line Search Newton-CG Method

We now have the pieces necessary to build **robust Newton methods** with good performance characteristics: first on the menu — the line search Newton-CG method:

Getting the search direction $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k$:

We apply the linear Conjugate Gradient (CG) method to the Newton equations

$$\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^N = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k),$$

and require that the solution satisfies a termination test of the type

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k\| \leq \eta_k \|\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\|, \quad \eta_k \in (0, 1).$$

However, if the Hessian is not positive definite this may break...

SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY

Line Search Newton-CG Method

If/When the Hessian is not positive definite we may enter a region of negative curvature; when we do, the CG iteration is terminated in order to guarantee that the generated $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k$ is a descent direction:

In search-direction-search, we set $A = \nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$, $\bar{\mathbf{b}} = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$ and then start the CG-iteration:

(1) The starting point is set to $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)} = 0$

(2) If a (**CG-internal**) search direction $\bar{\mathbf{p}}^{(i)}$ generated by the CG-iteration satisfies

$$\left[\bar{\mathbf{p}}^{(i)}\right]^T A \left[\bar{\mathbf{p}}^{(i)}\right] \leq 0, \quad \textbf{Negative curvature test}$$

then, if $(i == 0)$, set $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)} = \bar{\mathbf{b}} = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$ [Steepest Descent] and return, otherwise stop immediately and return $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}$.

(3) The approximate Newton step $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}$.

San Diego State University

Line Search Newton-CG Method

Algorithm: "CG-core"

Given A, $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$, $\eta^{(\kappa)}$, $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\mathrm{CG}}$: $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_0 = A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\mathrm{CG}} - \bar{\mathbf{b}}$, $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_0 = -\bar{\mathbf{r}}_0$, $k = 0$

while ($\|\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k\| > \eta^{(\kappa)}\|\bar{\mathbf{b}}\|$)

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k^T \bar{\mathbf{r}}_k}{\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^T A \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k},$$ Store the vector $A\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k$ and the scalar $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_k^T \bar{\mathbf{r}}_k$

if $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^T A \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k \leq 0, \quad k > 0$ return($\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k^{\mathrm{CG}}$)

if $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^T A \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k \leq 0, \quad k = 0$ return($\bar{\mathbf{p}}_0$)

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k+1}^{\mathrm{CG}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k^{\mathrm{CG}} + \alpha_k \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{k+1} = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{p}}_\mathbf{k}$$

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{k+1}^T \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{k+1}}{\bar{\mathbf{r}}_\mathbf{k}^\mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{r}}_\mathbf{k}},$$ Save numerator for next iteration!

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{k+1} = -\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1}\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k$$

end-while(k = k + 1)

— The $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k$'s are CG-internal search directions, **not** to be confused with the search direction for the optimization algorithm!

Line Search Newton-CG Method

Note: If the CG-core algorithm encounters a **direction of negative curvature** in the first iteration, the steepest descent direction is used.

Algorithm: Line Search Newton-CG Method

HW#2 + HW#3$^+$

Given $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_0$: $k = 0$

while ($\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k$ is not a minimum, e.g. $\|\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\| \geq 10^{-6}$)

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^{\text{N-CG}} = \texttt{CG-core}(A = \nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k),\ \bar{\mathbf{b}} = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k),\ \eta^{(\kappa)} = \eta_k,\ \bar{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{CG}} = \bar{\mathbf{0}})$$

$$\alpha_k^{\text{LS}} = \texttt{linesearch\_Strong\_Wolfe}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^{\text{N-CG}}, \dots)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k+1} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k + \alpha_k^{\text{LS}} \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^{\text{N-CG}}$$

end-while (k = k + 1)

Where we specify η_k as discussed earlier, and the linesearch is such that α_k satisfies the Wolfe, Strong Wolfe, Goldstein, or Armijo backtracking conditions.

Line Search Newton-CG Method

Comments:

- Nothing is stopping us from basing this on the preconditioned version of CG, in fact that is probably the right thing to do (see other comments)!
- Line Search Newton-CG (LS-N-CG) is well suited for large problems.
- LS-N-CG has one minor weakness — If/When the Hessian is nearly singular, the Newton-CG direction can be excessively long resulting in many function evaluations in the linesearch.
- This weakness is greatly alleviated by preconditioning, *i.e.* implementing LS-N-PCG(M).

Modified Newton's Method

Hand-Waving

Sometimes it is desirable to use a direct linear algebra technique, *i.e.* an efficient cousin of Gaussian Elimination, to solve the Newton equations

$$\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^N = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k).$$

If/When the Hessian is not positive definite (or close to singular), it can be modified either before or **during** the solution process so that in effect we solve

$$\underbrace{\left[\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k) + E_k\right]}_{\text{Sufficiently Positive Definite}} \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^N = -\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k),$$

where the Hessian modification E_k is chosen so that the resulting matrix is sufficiently positive definite.

Modified Newton's Method

Hand-Waving

Algorithm: Line Search Newton with Modification

Given $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_0$: $k = 0$

while ($\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k$ is not a minimum, e.g. $\|\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)\| \geq 10^{-6}$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_k &= \texttt{factorize}(\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k) + E_k) \\
\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^{\text{N-mod}} &= -B_k^{-1} \nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k) \\
\alpha_k^{\text{LS}} &= \texttt{linesearch\_Strong\_Wolfe}(\bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^{\text{N-mod}}, \dots) \\
\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k+1} &= \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k + \alpha_k^{\text{LS}} \bar{\mathbf{p}}_k^{\text{N-mod}}
\end{aligned}
$$

end-while (k = k + 1)

Where the linesearch is such that α_k satisfies the Wolfe, Strong Wolfe, Goldstein, or Armijo backtracking conditions.

The **factorization** algorithm is such that $E_k = 0$ if $\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$ is sufficiently positive definite; otherwise chosen so that $B_k = (\nabla^2 f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k) + E_k)$ is sufficiently positive definite.

We save the details of Hessian modification for next lecture...

Index

SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY