



- (7/27)

Stability Stability the Lax-Wendroff Scheme the Lax-Wendroff Scheme Notation Notation the Crank-Nicolson Scheme the Crank-Nicolson Scheme Boundary Conditions, Take #1 Boundary Conditions, Take #1 Summary: Lax-Wendroff vs. Crank-Nicolson Summary: Lax-Wendroff vs. Crank-Nicolson Propagating Crank-Nicolson Propagating Crank-Nicolson $1\frac{1}{2}$ of 2 Stability of the Crank-Nicolson Scheme Stability of the Crank-Nicolson Scheme 2 of 2 We write Crank-Nicolson g(θ) $g-1+ia\lambda rac{(g+1)\sin(heta)}{2}=0,$ Barrier aλ=0.25 as aλ=0.50 $g\left[1+\frac{ia\lambda}{2}\sin(\theta)\right]-\left[1-\frac{ia\lambda}{2}\sin(\theta)\right]=0.$ aλ=0.75 0.5 aλ=0.95 Finally, 0 $g(\theta) = \frac{1 - \frac{ia\lambda}{2}\sin(\theta)}{1 + \frac{ia\lambda}{2}\sin(\theta)}, \quad |\mathbf{g}(\theta)|^2 = \frac{1 + \frac{a^2\lambda^2}{4}\sin^2(\theta)}{1 + \frac{a^2\lambda^2}{2}\sin^2(\theta)} = \mathbf{1}.$ -0.5 Hence. Property: Unconditional Stability of Crank-Nicolson -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 The Crank-Nicolson scheme is stable for any value of $a\lambda$, we say **Å** that it is unconditionally stable. SAN DIEGO ST Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) Stability of LW and CN; Boundary Conditions Peter Blomgren, {blomgren.peter@gmail.com} Stability of LW and CN; Boundary Conditions - (9/27) - (10/27) Stability Stability the Lax-Wendroff Scheme Notation Notation the Crank-Nicolson Scheme Difference Notation and the Difference Calculus Boundary Conditions, Take #1 Boundary Conditions, Take #1 Summary: Lax-Wendroff vs. Crank-Nicolson Propagating Crank-Nicolson Propagating Crank-Nicolson Summary: Lax-Wendroff vs. Crank-Nicolson Difference Notation and the Difference Calculus We introduce the following notation

Êı

SAN DIEGO STAT UNIVERSITY

(11/27)

	Lax-Wendroff	Crank-Nicolson
Mode	Explicit	Implicit
Order of Accuracy	(2,2)	(2,2)
Stability Criterion	$ a\lambda \leq 1$ (CFL)	Unconditionally Stable

The Lax-Wendroff scheme is easier to propagate (since it is explicit), but if the speed *a* is large, the stability criterion may impose a severe time-step restriction, recall k = h/|a|.

The fact that the Crank-Nicolson scheme is unconditionally stable makes it (and variants) extremely useful; the only down-side is that for each time-step we must solve a linear system $A \overline{\mathbf{v}}^{n+1} = \overline{\mathbf{b}}_n(\overline{\mathbf{v}}^n)$.

We can define the corresponding time-differences δ_{t+} , δ_{t-} , δ_{t0} ,

 $\delta_+ v_m = \frac{v_{m+1} - v_m}{h}, \quad \delta_- v_m = \frac{v_m - v_{m-1}}{h}$

 $\underbrace{\delta_0 v_m = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_+ + \delta_-) v_m = \frac{v_{m+1} - v_{m-1}}{2h}}_{2h}.$

Central Difference

 $\delta^2 v_m = \frac{v_{m+1} - 2v_m + v_{m-1}}{h^2}.$

Backward Difference

Forward Difference

Further, we can define the second difference operator

 $\delta^2 = \delta_+ \delta_- \equiv \frac{\delta_+ - \delta_-}{h}$:

and δ_t^2 ...

Ê

Ê1

SAN DIEGO STA UNIVERSITY

IEGO STATE

What's The Use??? — Deriving Higher-Order Approximations

Consider the Taylor expansion of the central difference operator

$$\delta_0 u = \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{h^2}{6} \frac{d^3 u}{dx^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(h^4\right) = \left[1 + \frac{h^2}{6} \delta^2\right] \frac{du}{dx} + \mathcal{O}\left(h^4\right)$$

where, in the second equality we have used

$$\delta^2 u = \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(h^2\right).$$

Now, formally (symbolically)

$$\frac{du}{dx} = \left[1 + \frac{h^2}{6}\delta^2\right]^{-1}\delta_0 u + \mathcal{O}\left(h^4\right)$$

The inverse operator $[\circ]^{-1}$ is (almost) always eliminated by operating on both sides with the operator $[\circ]$ itself...



Example: Another Possibility — 4th Order Scheme

We can go a slightly different route:

$$\delta_0 u = \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{h^2}{6} \frac{d^3 u}{dx^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(h^4\right) = \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{h^2}{6} \delta^2 \delta_0 u + \mathcal{O}\left(h^4\right),$$

so that

$$\left[1-\frac{h^2}{6}\delta^2\right]\delta_0 u = \frac{du}{dx} + \mathcal{O}\left(h^4\right).$$

From which we get the fourth order scheme

$$\frac{-v_{m+2}+8v_{m+1}-8v_{m-1}+v_{m-2}}{12h}=f_m.$$

Clearly, this notation may come in handy...

Å

SAN DIEGO ST UNIVERSIT

Ê

SAN DIEGO ST

Propagating Crank-Nicolson

Example: A Fourth Order Approximation to $u_x = f$

Applying what we have developed to the equation

$$\frac{du}{dx}=f,$$

we get

$$\left[1+\frac{h^2}{6}\delta^2\right]^{-1}\delta_0 v_m = f_m \tag{1}$$

$$\delta_0 \mathbf{v}_m = \left[1 + \frac{\hbar^2}{6} \delta^2\right] f_m \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{v_{m+1} - v_{m-1}}{2h} = f_m + \frac{1}{6} [f_{m+1} - 2f_m + f_{m-1}] \qquad (3)$$
$$= \frac{1}{6} [f_{m+1} + 4f_m + f_{m-1}].$$

If/When the right-hand-side is simply f_m , we only have a second order approximation...

 Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com)
 Stability of LW and CN; Boundary Conditions — (14/27)

 Stability Notation
 Boundary Conditions, Take #1

 Propagating Crank-Nicolson
 Examples of Numerical Boundary Conditions

Boundary Conditions — Physical

We have seen that when we solve IVPs in finite physical domains, we need **physical boundary conditions** at the boundaries where characteristics enter the domain.

SAN DIEGO S UNIVERSIT

SAN DIEGO S

— This corresponds to a physical process, such as keeping a temperature constant (or varying in time), regulating the flow of water through the turbines in a dam, the absorption of sound in the ceiling and walls of your subterranean media room...

Clearly, a numerical scheme must accurately capture these physical boundary conditions.

Boundary Conditions, Take #1 Propagating Crank-Nicolson Examples of Numerical Boundary Conditions

Boundary Conditions, Take #1 Propagating Crank-Nicolson

Notation

Boundary Conditions — (Additional) Numerical

Further, many numerical schemes also require additional boundary conditions, called numerical boundary conditions in order for the solution to be well defined (unique).

Examples of Numerical Boundary Conditions

Numerical boundary conditions often arise for reasons similar to the ones that impose the need for additional numerical initial conditions (for multi-step schemes) and/or to make a finite computational domain "act" infinite.

Dealing with boundary conditions, physical and/or numerical is many times the most difficult part of simulating a PDE.

Boundary Conditions

For our discussion we use the Lax-Wendroff scheme

Notation

$$v_m^{n+1} = v_m^n - \frac{a\lambda}{2} \left(v_{m+1}^n - v_{m-1}^n \right) + \frac{a^2 \lambda^2}{2} \left(v_{m+1}^n - 2v_m^n + v_{m-1}^n \right),$$

applied to the equation

Here are some possibilities:

$$u_t + au_x = 0, \quad 0 \le x \le 1, \ t \ge 0.$$

But, we run into problems at the boundaries, some points are "missing:"



Êı

SAN DIEGO STAT

Let's assume that k = h, *i.e.* $\lambda = 1$, and a = 0.5 > 0, then the characteristics come in from the left, and we must have [due to well-posedness] a physical boundary condition there:



We still need do so **something** at the right boundary, x_{M} ...



SAN DIEGO

$$v_M^{n+1} = 2v_{M-1}^{n+1} - v_{M-2}^{n+1}$$
 (2)

$$v_M^{n+1} = v_{M-1}^n$$
 (3)

$$v_M^{n+1} = 2v_{M-1}^n - v_{M-2}^{n-1}$$
(4)

Formulas (1) and (2) are simple extrapolations of interior grid points to the boundary. Formulas (3) and (4) are referred to as

quasi-characteristic extrapolation, since the extrapolation uses points "near" the characteristics. Usually, but not always, it is better to use one-sided difference formulas at the boundaries, *i.e.*

$$u_M^{n+1}=v_M^n-a\lambda(v_M^n-v_{M-1}^n).$$

Problems that Can Occur

Accuracy & Stability

Ê

SAN DIEGO ST

Examples of Numerical Boundary Conditions

The decision on what to do at the boundary may seem like a small one... We're only talking about what to do at **one** point, and quite possibly we may have thousands or billions of interior points...

However, any error we introduce at the boundary will eventually affect the entire solution:

If we have a 4th order scheme (in the interior), but use a sloppy 1st order one-sided difference at the boundary, then the overall scheme is only 1st order.

In addition, the boundary condition will affect the stability of the scheme!

We will re-visit these issues again (and again), in more detail; for now, we ponder the table on the next slide.



We now return to the issue of propagating the Crank-Nicolson scheme

$$\frac{v_m^{n+1}-v_m^n}{k}+a\frac{v_{m+1}^{n+1}-v_{m-1}^{n+1}+v_{m+1}^n-v_{m-1}^n}{4h}=0.$$

We rewrite this so we have all the unknown terms to the left, and the known terms to the right

$$-\left[\frac{a}{4h}\right]v_{m-1}^{n+1}+\left[\frac{1}{k}\right]v_{m}^{n+1}+\left[\frac{a}{4h}\right]v_{m+1}^{n+1}=\underbrace{\frac{a}{4h}v_{m-1}^{n}+\frac{1}{k}v_{m}^{n}-\frac{a}{4h}v_{m+1}^{n}}_{b_{m}^{n}},$$

where if the x-grid is given by x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_M , the index *m* runs from 1 to (M - 1), and we apply appropriate boundary conditions at x_0 and x_M . Boundary Conditions, Take #1

Stability Impact of Boundary Conditions

	Boundary Condition (slide 20)			
Scheme	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Leapfrog	unstable	unstable	stable [†]	stable [†]
Crank-Nicolson	$stable^\ddagger$	$stable^\ddagger$	a $\lambda < 2$	a $\lambda < 2$

[†] conditionally stable; [‡] unconditionally stable.

The effect of incorrect boundary conditions are usually oscillations in the solution. These oscillations may be observed **away** from the boundary, which makes it hard to correctly diagnose the cause of the problem.

Usually, if you suspect that an unstable numerical boundary condition is causing instability, the easiest way to pinpoint the problem is to change the boundary condition and observe the solution.

We will return to the analysis of boundary conditions, but we need more (mathematical) tools before we do so.

Peter Blomgren, $\langle \texttt{blomgren.peter@gmail.com} angle$	Stability of LW and CN; Boundary Conditions — (22/27)
Stability Notation Boundary Conditions, Take #1 Propagating Crank-Nicolson	Solving Tridiagonal Systems The Thomas Algorithm ↔ Math 541
Propagating Crank-Nicolson: Solving Tridiagon	al Systems 2 of 3

This gives rise to a tri-diagonal system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & & \\ -\alpha & \beta & \alpha & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -\alpha & \beta & \alpha \\ & & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{M-1} \\ v_M \end{bmatrix}^{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{M-1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^n$$

where $\alpha = a/4h$, $\beta = 1/k$; $b_0^n = \varphi(t_{n+1})$ is the specification of the physical boundary condition at (t_{n+1}, x_0) ; the last row $-v_{M-1} + v_M = 0$ corresponds to (BC-1 on slide 20); and b_1^n through b_{M-1}^n are computed according to the previous slide.

Peter Blomgren, (blomgren.peter@gmail.com) Stability of LW and CN; Boundary Conditions — (24/27)

Solving Tridiagonal Systems Boundary Conditions, Take #1 The Thomas Algorithm → Math 541 Propagating Crank-Nicolson The Thomas Algorithm → Math 541	Station Solving Tridiagonal Systems Boundary Conditions, Take #1 The Thomas Algorithm → Math 541 Propagating Crank-Nicolson Frequencies
Propagating Crank-Nicolson: Solving Tridiagonal Systems 3 of 3	Thomas Algorithm for Tridiagonal Systems
A tri-diagonal system like this can be solved on $\mathcal{O}(M)$ operations, which should be compared with the more general requirement $\mathcal{O}(M^3)$ for a full matrix. The Thomas Algorithm is discussed in Math 541 , and is also presented in Strikwerda. A matlab implementation (without error checking, for brevity) is presented on the next slide. In order for the algorithm to work, the tridiagonal matrix T "must be in compact form: the sub-diagonal elements in the first column, the diagonal in the second column, and the super-diagonal in the third column. [] Note that T(1,1) and T(n,3) are never accessed, i.e. the sub-diagonal elements end on the (n-1)st row."	<pre>Thomas Algorithm for Tridiagonal Systems [matlab] function [x] = trisolve(T,b) [n,m] = size(T); work = zeros(n,1); work(1) = T(1,2); x(1,:) = b(1,:); % Forward sweep. for i=2:n beta = T(i,1)/work(i-1); x(i,:) = b(i,:) - beta*x(i-1,:); work(i) = T(i,2) - beta*T(i-1,3); end x(n,:) = x(n,:)/work(n); % Backward sweep. for i=n-1:-1:1 x(i,:) = (x(i,:) - T(i,3)*x(i+1,:)) / work(i); end</pre>
Stability Notation Stability Notation Boundary Conditions, Take #1 Propagating Crank-Nicolson Solving Tridiagonal Systems The Thomas Algorithm ~ Math 541 Homework #2 — Due 2/23/2018, 12:00pm Solving Tridiagonal Systems	
Strikwerda-2.1.4 — Theoretical Strikwerda-2.1.5 — Theoretical Strikwerda-2.2.1 — Theoretical Strikwerda-2.2.4 — Theoretical Strikwerda-3.2.1 — Theoretical Strikwerda-3.2.3 — Theoretical Strikwerda-3.4.1 — Numerical	